

Lecture 5: Plane curves

Suppose that $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a curve defined by a polynomial equation $P(x, y) = 0$. In lecture we mentioned that one can “compactify” C by taking its closure in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$. In this set of exercises we will discuss this operation in more detail. First a reminder:

Definition 0.1. A polynomial is said to be homogeneous if every term has the same degree.

For example, the polynomial $x^2 + 2xy - y^2$ is homogeneous of degree 2 while $x^2 - 3y$ is not homogeneous.

1 Projective space

This section recalls some basic facts about projective space (also covered in Prof. Migliore’s lectures). We will think of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ as the set of equivalence classes of triples $(x : y : z)$ of real numbers such that x, y, z are not all zero, where two triples $(x_1 : y_1 : z_1)$ and $(x_2 : y_2 : z_2)$ are said to be equivalent if there is a non-zero $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$x_1 = \lambda x_2 \quad y_1 = \lambda y_2 \quad z_1 = \lambda z_2$$

or expressed more compactly, $(x_1 : y_1 : z_1) = \lambda(x_2 : y_2 : z_2)$. We will somewhat lazily think of a non-zero triple $(x : y : z)$ as an element of \mathbb{P}^2 , with the caveat that there are other triples that also define the same element.

We can identify a copy of \mathbb{R}^2 inside of \mathbb{P}^2 in the following way. Consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbb{R}^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \\ \phi(x, y) &= (x : y : 1) \end{aligned}$$

This function is injective: if $\phi(x, y) = \phi(x', y')$ then $(x : y : 1)$ and $(x' : y' : 1)$ are equivalent tuples. But by looking at the z -coordinate we see the rescaling factor for these equivalent tuples must be 1, so that $x = x'$ and $y = y'$.

The image of this function is the set $U \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ consisting of all points $(x : y : z)$ such that $z \neq 0$. Indeed, if $(x : y : z)$ is a point with $z \neq 0$, then it is equivalent to the point $(\frac{x}{z} : \frac{y}{z} : 1)$ which is clearly in the image of ϕ . In other words, on U we have an inverse function

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-1} : U &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \phi^{-1}(x : y : z) &= \left(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We will think of U as a “copy” of \mathbb{R}^2 inside of \mathbb{P}^2 . We will call the complement $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus U$ the “set of points at infinity” and denote it by \mathbb{P}^1_∞ . To be clear: the set of points at infinity is the set of points of the form $(x : y : 0)$ inside of \mathbb{P}^2 (which really is a copy of \mathbb{P}^1). Geometrically the point $(x : y : 0)$ represents the “slope direction” $\frac{y}{x}$.

Note that a polynomial $P(x, y, z)$ usually does not define a function $\mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in any meaningful way. Of course if we choose a particular triple $(x : y : z)$ then $P(x, y, z)$ is a well-defined real number. However, if we choose a rescaling $(\lambda x : \lambda y : \lambda z)$ representing the *same* point of \mathbb{P}^2 , usually the value of $P(\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda z)$ is *different*. Since the value of $P(x, y, z)$ depends on the choice of representative, we can’t use P to define a function $\mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

However, we can do something a little weaker if $P(x, y, z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial. In this case, the rescaling operation is easier to understand: if P has degree d then

$$P(\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda z) = \lambda^d P(x, y, z).$$

We still can’t necessarily get a value of P at a point $(x : y : z) \in \mathbb{P}^2$. However, we *can* tell if $P(x, y, z) = 0$ because this property does not change when we rescale the point!

Definition 1.1. Given a homogeneous polynomial $\tilde{P}(x, y, z)$ of degree $d \geq 1$, the curve $\tilde{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ defined by the equation $\tilde{P}(x, y, z) = 0$ is the set of all points $(x : y : z)$ such that $\tilde{P}(x, y, z) = 0$. (For emphasis: to check if a point of \mathbb{P}^2 is in \tilde{C} it does not matter which representative tuple we pick!)

2 Affine to projective

Now suppose we have a plane curve C defined by an equation $P(x, y) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . We will associate to it a curve $\tilde{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ in the following way.

Definition 2.1. Suppose that $P(x, y)$ is a degree d polynomial with $d \geq 1$. We define the homogenization $\tilde{P}(x, y, z)$ to be the homogeneous polynomial of degree d obtained by adding as many factors of z as necessary to the terms of P to obtain a homogeneous polynomial of degree d .

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, y) = xy^2 - 3x^2 + 2y &\implies \tilde{P}(x, y, z) = xy^2 - 3x^2z + 2yz^2 \\ P(x, y) = x^4 - xy + 3 &\implies \tilde{P}(x, y, z) = x^4 - xyz^2 + 3z^4 \end{aligned}$$

Note that it is possible that $\tilde{P}(x, y, z) = P(x, y)$ if $P(x, y)$ is already homogeneous.

Definition 2.2. Suppose that $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a curve defined by $P(x, y) = 0$ where $P(x, y)$ is a degree d polynomial with $d \geq 1$. We define $\tilde{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ by the equation $\tilde{P}(x, y, z) = 0$.

Using the inclusion $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ we can think of C as a subset of \mathbb{P}^2 . Loosely speaking, \tilde{C} is the “closure” of C inside of \mathbb{P}^2 . The following theorem gives us some indication of why this is a valid perspective.

Theorem 2.3. For any curve $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by a polynomial $P(x, y) = 0$ we have

$$\tilde{C} \cap U = \phi(C).$$

Proof. A point in U can be rescaled to have the form $(x : y : 1)$. Note that $\tilde{P}(x, y, 1) = P(x, y)$. Thus the set of points of the form $(x : y : 1)$ such that $\tilde{P}(x, y, 1) = 0$ is the same as the set of points (x, y) such that $P(x, y) = 0$. \square

Caution 2.4. Strictly speaking it is not true that \tilde{C} is the closure of C in \mathbb{P}^2 . When we are working over \mathbb{C} this is literally true! But when we are working over \mathbb{R} it is not; see Exercise (4). We will ignore this minor issue.

The following exercises give you practice with this “closure” operation. Feel free to use Desmos to get a visual intuition!

- 1) Consider the curve $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by the equation $x^2 - y = 0$. What does the curve \tilde{C} look like? What are its points at infinity?
- 2) Consider the curve $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by the equation $6x^2 - y^2 - 5y = 0$. What does the curve \tilde{C} look like? What are its points at infinity?
- 3) Consider the curve $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by the equation $2x^2 + y^2 - 6 = 0$. What does the curve \tilde{C} look like? What are its points at infinity?
- 4) Consider the curve $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by the equation $x^2 + 1 = 0$. What does the curve \tilde{C} look like? What are its points at infinity?
- 5) Consider the curve $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by the equation $x^3 - 3y^3 + 3x - 5y = 0$. What does the curve \tilde{C} look like? What are its points at infinity?
- 6) Consider the curve $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by the equation $x^3 - 3x^2y + 3xy^2 - y^3 - 6x^2 + 5y^2 - 3x + y + 5 = 0$. What does the curve \tilde{C} look like? What are its points at infinity?
- 7) Consider the curve $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by the equation $x^3 - 4x^2y + 5xy^2 - 2y^3 - 5xy + 3x - 2 = 0$. What does the curve \tilde{C} look like? What are its points at infinity?
- 8) Make sense of the following claims. Suppose $P(x, y)$ has degree $d \geq 1$.

- Let $P_d(x, y)$ denote all the terms of $P(x, y)$ with degree d . Then the points at infinity of \tilde{C} is the subset of \mathbb{P}_∞^1 defined by the homogeneous polynomial $P_d(x, y) = 0$.
 - The points at infinity of \tilde{C} are the “limits” of the tangent directions to the points of C .
- 9) If $\tilde{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is defined by a homogeneous polynomial $\tilde{P}(x, y, z) = 0$, is it true that $\tilde{C} \cap U$ is the same as the subset defined by the “dehomogenized” polynomial $\tilde{P}(x, y, 1) = 0$? Prove or give a counterexample!

3 Smooth points

Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a curve defined by a polynomial $P(x, y) = 0$. Recall that p is said to be a singular point of C if both $\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial P}{\partial y}$ vanish at p . Otherwise p is said to be a smooth point of C . (Technically, it is best to look for singular points in \mathbb{C}^2 and not just \mathbb{R}^2 – this will give us the best sense of the behavior of our curve.)

Caution 3.1. A singular point p of C must satisfy three conditions, not two: $P(p) = 0$, $\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}(p) = 0$, $\frac{\partial P}{\partial y}(p) = 0$

- 10) Show that $(0, 0)$ is a singular point of C if and only every term of P has degree ≥ 2 . Show that this matches your intuition by using Desmos to graph the following curves: $y^2 - x^3 - x^2 = 0$, $(x^2 + y^2)^2 + 3x^2y - y^3 = 0$.
- 11) Show that the following curves are smooth:
- $x^2 + y^2 - 3 = 0$.
 - $x^2 - 3y^2 + 5x - 6y = 0$.
 - $y^2 - x^3 - 3x - 1 = 0$.
- 12) Find all the singular points of the following curves:
- $y^3 - y^2 + x^3 - x^2 + 3xy^2 + 3x^2y + 2xy = 0$.
 - $x^4 + y^4 - x^2y^2 = 0$.
 - $x^3 + y^3 - 3x^2 - 3y^2 + 3xy + 1 = 0$.
- 13) Suppose that C has the Weierstrass form $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$. Show that C is singular if and only if $4a^3 + 27b^2 = 0$. (Here I am implicitly looking for singular points over \mathbb{C} .)

- 14) Suppose that C is defined by a degree 2 equation $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + dx + ey + f = 0$. What is the condition on the coefficients that determines whether C is smooth or has a singular point? (This is a little complicated – the analogous question in \mathbb{P}^2 is better behaved.)

Now suppose we have $\tilde{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ defined by a polynomial equation $\tilde{P}(x, y, z) = 0$. Letting $U = \{(x : y : z) | z \neq 0\}$ as before, recall that $\tilde{C} \cap U$ is the same as the locus $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by the equation $\tilde{P}(x, y, 1) = 0$. Thus it is very natural to say that a point in $\tilde{C} \cap U$ is singular or smooth if the corresponding point of C is singular/smooth.

However, from the viewpoint of projective space, the points at infinity are as good as any other point. So we can also define a notion of singular/smooth for these points as well! One way is simply to swap the roles of the variables and “dehomogenize” with respect to x or y :

- Letting $V = \{(x : y : z) | y \neq 0\}$, we check for singularities of $\tilde{C} \cap V$ using the equation $\tilde{P}(x, 1, z) = 0$.
- Letting $W = \{(x : y : z) | x \neq 0\}$, we check for singularities of $\tilde{C} \cap W$ using the equation $\tilde{P}(1, y, z) = 0$.

This looks a little complicated. For example, it is not at all obvious that if a point $p \in U \cap V \cap W$ is singular when considered in $\tilde{C} \cap U$, it is also singular when considered in $\tilde{C} \cap V$ or $\tilde{C} \cap W$. (To be clear: it is true, just not obvious.)

An easier option is given by:

Definition 3.2 (Projective criterion). Suppose $\tilde{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is defined by a homogeneous equation $\tilde{P}(x, y, z) = 0$. We say that $p \in \tilde{C}$ is a singular point if

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial x}(p) = 0 \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial y}(p) = 0 \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial z}(p) = 0$$

Otherwise we say that p is a smooth point.

- 15) Find all the singular points of the following curves:

- $xz^2 - y^3 + xy^2 = 0$
- $x^2y^2 + 36xz^3 + 24yz^3 + 108z^4 = 0$.

- 16) What condition on k determines whether the curve

$$(x + y + z)^3 - kxyz = 0$$

has singular points?

- 17) Suppose that $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined by the equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$. Show that all points at infinity of the corresponding curve \tilde{C} are smooth.
- 18) Suppose that C is defined by a degree 2 equation $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + dxz + eyz + fz^2 = 0$. What is the condition on the coefficients that determines whether C is smooth or has a singular point? (Hint: recall that every two lines in \mathbb{P}^2 intersect!)
- 19) Suppose that $\tilde{P}(x, y, z)$ is homogeneous of degree d . Prove Euler's formula:

$$d \cdot \tilde{P} = x \frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial y} + z \frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial z}.$$

Using this formula, prove that our two definitions of singular/smooth – one via “dehomogenizing”, one via the projective criterion – are equivalent. In particular, verify that if $p \in \tilde{C}$ is singular then it is singular in every “dehomogenization” such that the corresponding copy of \mathbb{R}^2 contains p .