


EDU 60455 Sec 02 *Development and Moral Education in Adolescence*



Instructor:	Jay Brandenberger, Ph.D.	CRN: 2822	Summer 2018
Address:	Center for Social Concerns → 203 Geddes Hall		June 11 th to July 17 th
Phone:	631-7943 (if necessary: 574-339-6731, cell)		MTWRF
Email:	jbranden@nd.edu		10:10 – 11:45 AM
Web:	http://sakai.nd.edu or http://sites.nd.edu/edu60455/		242 Debartolo Hall
TA:	Tim Will: twill1@nd.edu / 631-5772		Section 02 of four

COURSE DESCRIPTION

A systematic treatment of the cognitive, social, biological, and personality development relating to education and an examination of the theoretical and research bases of moral development and their implications for the classroom, with an emphasis on adolescence.

Mastery of the theoretical and empirical literatures of developmental psychology is critical to effective teaching. This is recognized by the Indiana Professional Standards Board, which requires prospective teachers to demonstrate mastery of a set of developmental standards as a condition for teacher licensure. In this seminar we will critically examine a variety of topics and issues derived from these standards.

REQUIRED TEXTS / MATERIALS

Required readings are available on Sakai at ND: <http://sakai.nd.edu> as the primary source.

I highly recommend that you buy the following succinct, cogent book that integrates many course topics:

Moshman, D. (2011). *Adolescent Rationality and Development*, 3rd Ed. New York: Taylor and Francis

ATTENDANCE POLICY

Regular class attendance demonstrates the appropriate professional disposition, and contributes to reciprocal learning. If necessary to miss, please notify instructor prior, and ask a colleague for notes.

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY CODE OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL

Students are expected to adhere to the Academic Integrity code of the Graduate School. Although students are encouraged to work collaboratively and to cooperate in the mastery of course material, the various papers and course projects should reflect the individual accomplishment of students. The code may be accessed at https://graduateschool.nd.edu/assets/255298/academic_code_2017_2018.pdf.

The Code states that:

Integrity in scholarship and research is an essential characteristic of the academic life and social structure in the University. Any activity that compromises the pursuit of truth and the advancement of knowledge besmirches the intellectual effort and may undermine confidence in the academic enterprise. A commitment to honesty is expected in all academic endeavors. Mentors and academic leaders should continuously emphasize this to students, research assistants, associates, and colleagues.

Please read especially the section on Academic Integrity found in Section 5.8 on page 13.

Please also consider the Notre Dame Undergraduate Academic Honor Code reads: **“As a member of the Notre Dame community, I will not participate in or tolerate academic dishonesty.”** If you are unsure about whether a particular behavior constitutes cheating or plagiarism, please ask your instructor. Please review more about the Code at the following site (this is especially relevant in a course focused on moral education): <http://honorcode.nd.edu/the-honor-code/> .

SPECIAL NEEDS

If you have special needs that require accommodation, please let me know during the first days of class.

COURSE OBJECTIVES and STANDARDS

This course is designed to help students understand and meet Indiana state developmental standards listed below (especially 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6). The standards will be addressed through course components and tailored assignments (see end of syllabus for more detail). Standards are very similar for teachers in middle school and high school. Instructors in other ACE courses will also facilitate attention to state standards.

Standard 1: Student Development and Diversity

Teachers at the middle and secondary level have a broad and comprehensive understanding of student development and diversity and demonstrate the ability to provide instruction that is responsive to student differences and that promotes development and learning for all students.

Standard 2: Learning Processes

Teachers at the middle and secondary level have a broad and comprehensive understanding of learning processes and demonstrate the ability to facilitate student achievement.

Standard 3: Instructional Planning and Delivery

Teachers at the middle and secondary level have a broad and comprehensive understanding of instructional planning and delivery and demonstrate the ability to plan and deliver standards-based, data-driven differentiated instruction that engages students, makes effective use of contemporary tools and technologies, and helps all students achieve learning goals.

Standard 4: Assessment

Teachers at the middle and secondary level have a broad and comprehensive understanding of assessment principles and practices and demonstrate the ability to use assessment to monitor student progress and to use data to guide instructional decision making.

Standard 5: Learning Environment

Teachers at the middle and secondary level have a broad and comprehensive understanding of student learning environments and demonstrate the ability to establish positive, productive, well-managed, and safe learning environments for all students.

Standard 6: The Professional Environment

Teachers at the middle and secondary level have a broad and comprehensive understanding of professional environments and expectations and demonstrate the ability to collaborate with others to improve student learning, to engage in continuous professional growth and self-reflection, and to adhere to legal and ethical requirements of the profession.

Standard 7: Reading Instruction

Teachers at the middle and secondary level have a broad and comprehensive understanding of content-area and disciplinary literacy skills, and demonstrate the ability to plan and deliver integrated content-area reading instruction that is based on student learning standards, student literacy needs and strengths as reflected in ongoing student data, and scientifically based reading research.

Source: <http://www.doe.in.gov/licensing/rep-a-teacher-standards>

For more information on Indiana state standards, see

<http://www.doe.in.gov/achievement/standards>

THE PILLARS OF ACE

The Conceptual Framework that guides teacher education in the Alliance for Catholic Education graduate program is based on three pillars:

Professional/ Academic → Mastery of literatures relevant to teaching and instructional practice

Community → Understanding the ecological bases of development and education, and hence the role of family, school, community, peers and culture.

Spirituality → Understanding the developmental bases of moral and spiritual formation of children.

Each of these pillars will be addressed throughout the course, but the thematic topics of each week of class will provide an opportunity to focus on one pillar in particular. The Community Pillar will be highlighted during the first and fourth weeks of class. The Professional/Academic Pillar will be the focus of the second and fourth weeks. The Spirituality Pillar will receive particular emphasis during the third week of class.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS/ASSESSMENTS

General Expectations → In this intensive, standards-focused seminar we will take joint responsibility for the conduct of the class, using the set of readings, culled from the scholarly literature, to guide our discussion. Critical inquiry, active participation and robust dialogue are desired. You will demonstrate the “dispositions” proper to teacher licensure if you come to class prepared to engage the issues before us. Instructors for each developmental section will assess the degree to which completion of assignments meet developmental licensing standards.

Class Participation

Class participation via grounded preparation and active engagement is an essential element of the course. Participation in this sense goes beyond talking, involving respectful presence, deep listening and thoughtful

response. In an atmosphere of trust, we welcome healthy debate and critical analyses to foster our collective improvement.

Two (Weekly) Theory/Application Papers

One written essay will be required for two of the first three weeks of class [students will do an extension presentation/paper corresponding to one of the other weeks]. The theory/application paper will include the following elements:

- *Brief Integrated Summary* – Describe succinctly the common theme(s) of the week’s readings, and what issues/ challenges they address. Present an integrated overview demonstrating deep understanding. Distinguished papers will cite at least one relevant reading that was not required (drawn from research in the field, list of optional readings, etc.). (1 page or less)
- *Analysis of Teaching* – Describe a specific change or changes you will make in your future teaching—or, alternatively, a new teaching practice you will institute—as a result of the readings and discussion of the week, and justify (with specificity) such changes in light of the literature. (2 pages)
- *Analysis of Relevant Developmental Standards* – Briefly address what developmental standards you addressed in your paper/writing. Attend first to the developmental standards associated with the focus of the week; see table at end of syllabus for additional information. (1-2 sentences)

In total, the weekly papers should be 3 pages (max), double-spaced. Learn to *make every word tell*: see Strunk and White, *The Elements of Style* (and: <http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=4985137>).

See rubric below that describes how the weekly assignments will be assessed.

Extension Presentation/Paper

Students will complete a research extension presentation/paper corresponding to one of the weeks not covered by their chosen theory/application papers. These presentations will extend our focus on research in an area relevant to the course, help to sharpen your research skills (ability to discern relevance and quality among a broad literature), and hone your presentation skills (relevance, clarity, parsimony, and application). Students will research the literature beyond what has been covered, choose a single study of focus, present key findings to the class (using PowerPoint if needed and creative presentation skills), and offer a teacher-friendly handout and discussion questions for colleagues. Appropriate developmental standards will be cited.

Further details to be given in class.

Note: The readings/concepts/standards not addressed in either a theory/application or extension assignment can be addressed via an optional paper (and I will factor this paper into your course grade) and/or in the conference presentation.

ACE Teaching Fellows Conference Presentation/Paper

All students will present at the annual ACE Teaching Fellow Conference in mid-July, a great opportunity to demonstrate your class learning and share insights with the ACE community. Presentations will be done in teams (by areas of interest) and will last approximately 40 minutes. A written component will combine with the conference presentation for a significant portion of the class grade.

See further instructions below, and provided in class.

Other brief assignments will be required during the course.

Portfolio → We will explore additional ways to enhance/develop an online professional teaching portfolio.

ACE Teaching Fellows Conference

July 17th, 1:00 to 5:00 pm

Overview. The ACE Conference is an opportunity to explore a topic of interest collaboratively, present findings to peers and the broader community, and learn from other presenters.

You will work with a team of ACE colleagues, choose a relevant topic to explore in relation to developmental theory and research, then share your findings and implications for teaching in a professional/effective manner.

Preparation for the Conference. A list of potential topics will be shared. Groups/topics must be specified by the end of first week of class. Complete this [form](#) as instructed to prepare.

Students are asked to work in groups of four. If possible, teams should include at least two developmental levels (high school, middle school) and at least two content areas (math, science, social studies, religion, etc.). Presentations should reference teaching implications for all developmental levels, when possible.

Presentation at Conference (125 points)

Prepare a clear, impactful presentation for the timeslot assigned (40 minutes). Attend remaining sessions of conference. Group presenters will do well to organize sessions as follows (though flexibility to match your focus is supported): 1) Topic Overview and Framing, 2) Support from the Literature, 3) Implications for Different Content Areas, and 4) Questions and Discussion

A distinguished conference presentation will:

- Describe the topic/problem briefly and succinctly at the start: hook the audience authentically
- Avoid spending too long on what you plan to do in the session (point briefly as needed)
- Be well organized with logical transitions between elements
- Avoid death by powerpoint (do not read each line on the slides)
- Avoid slide over-design (jumble of fonts, pictures, transitions, etc.) & too many concepts per slide
- Include a handout for participants to take away references, concepts ...

(submit slides and handout to box folder of each student)

Conference Paper (125 points)

Teams members will be responsible for writing an individual professional-level paper aligned with sub-topics of interest extending the conference presentation.

In your paper:

- 1) Include a **literature review**, one that is more thorough than what was presented in the timeframe of the

conference session. [40% of 125 points overall]

- Offer a relevant review of theory/research and research-based practices
- Consider potential mechanisms for why a practice analyzed works, for whom it works best, and under what conditions it has been found to be most effective. Apply a developmental lens.
- Identify relevant opposing views, note gaps in the literature and areas for further research

2) Describe how you will incorporate this practice into your instruction and/or classroom practices. Use specific, concrete details and examples here; do not write in generalities. Note implications for moral education. [50%]

3) Include a thorough reference page (5%), and indicate which developmental standards have been addressed (5%).

A strong final paper will attend well to grammar, spelling, transitions, and other mechanics.

Length: 5 to 8 pages (double spaced)

Submit electronically as directed: title the document(s) using your last name as the first word.

Important: Also submit any slides and handouts used in the presentation (each student should upload to his/her Box folder the slides used by the team).

COURSE WEB SITES and TECHNOLOGY ASSISTANCE

We will use Sakai at ND: <https://sakai.nd.edu/> for course readings and some other elements.

I have also set up a general course web site @ <http://sites.nd.edu/edu60455/>. Here one can find course readings, comment on issues within the course, and the like.

We will also Box at ND to share files, etc. Please set up an account at **box.nd.edu**, and, I recommend, sync to your hard drive. You will have 50GB of useful space that can be shared across various devices.

If digital things malfunction for you, please contact the Office of Information Technology or a computer consultant of ACE, or Tim Will @ twill1@nd.edu.

ListServ/Group

We will also use a listserv/Google group for course-related communication. Only students and faculty associated with course can post to the list. Feel free to share insights, relevant information, and suggestions.

List email: **su18-edu-60455-02-group@nd.edu**

ASSESSMENT / GRADING

The final course grade will be based on the following point system:

Class Activity	Points
2 Weekly Assignments (papers, 3 pages each)	200 (100 points each)
Extension Research Presentation/Paper	100
Conference Presentation and Paper	250
Misc Assignments	
Overall Class Participation	150
Total Class Points	700

Here is the approximate grading scale for the papers and the overall course:

A	93-100%	B-	80-82%
A-	90-92%	C+	78-79%
B+	88-89%	C	73-77%
B	83-87%	C-	70-72%

The instructor will also encourage student self-evaluation throughout the course.

Grading and Developmental Standards:

In addition to letter grades, assignments will be evaluated with respect to addressing relevant licensing standard(s).

The weekly assignments and conference paper are designed to provide means to demonstrate mastery of the relevant developmental standards: see table presented at end of syllabus.

Ace Grading Policies:

“Students who are unable to complete in a timely manner the requirements for a course and who have a documented medical or personal reason will receive a grade of I. The course Professor will provide the Director of the M. Ed. with a firm date, no later than the mid-point of the semester following, for which all work will be completed and a grade change provided.

Students who are unable to complete in a timely manner the requirements for a course for any other reason will receive a grade of F. Such a grade may occasion a warning letter under the policy on Dismissal and Appeal.”

Components of Evaluation	Rubric Categories for Evaluation of Weekly Papers			
	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
<p>Brief Integrated Summary</p> <p>“What is the central theme of the Weeks Readings?”</p> <p>30%</p>	Paper does not describe or note a central theme for the week’s readings	A theme is noted, though with reference to only a few readings.	One or more themes are described succinctly, with integration across readings (describing what common elements or challenges are addressed)	One or more themes are described succinctly, with integration and original insight or observation
<p>Teaching Practice</p> <p>“What teacher practice(s) will you now change?”</p> <p>30%</p>	Paper does not address specific teacher practices; or does so implicitly or in highly general terms not concrete enough to be action-guiding.	One teaching practice is described; ideas about how to alter, change or adapt it are noted	One or more teaching practices are described in detail along with general ideas about how to alter, change or adapt practices in light of the literature	One or more teaching practices are described in detail with numerous specific ideas about concrete adaptations in light of the literature
<p>Justification</p> <p>“On what grounds?”</p> <p>30%</p>	Appeal to literature is thin, inconsistent or inaccurate	Appeal to literature is generally accurate but uneven	A broad sampling of the literature is used accurately to justify or ground a change in teacher practice	A broad sampling of the literature with frequent drill-down to specific findings are used to ground a change in teacher practice
<p>Analysis of Standards</p> <p>5%</p>	No links to standards	Vague, or undeveloped links to standards	General understanding of standards and application to literature	Specific, accurate, and thoughtful links to standards
<p>References</p> <p>5%</p>	Research is not cited. No reference page is provided	Few citations; Reference page is frequently accurate although there are occasional departures from APA style	Sources are cited wisely; Reference page is mostly accurate with respect to APA style	Citations are extensive, going beyond assigned readings; reference page aligns well with APA style

Percentages above are approximate.

Important: when submitting or uploading electronic documents, title them beginning with your **last name** (e.g. Smith Essay Week 1), and submit according to directions from the instructor. Such is a fundamental requirement for receiving full credit.

WEEKLY CLASS TOPICS and READING ASSIGNMENTS

Week 1 **Theme: Human Development in Context:
School, Family, and Community**

ACE Pillar: Community

Date/Content/Assignment **→** **Bold Text = Priority Reading**

Monday, June 11 **Introduction to the Course: Content, Standards, Assignments, and ...**
Room 245 Notes in praise of human development and learning ...

Complete [survey](#) **Moshman, D. (2011). Preface and Introduction to *Adolescent Rationality and Development* (pp. xi to xxii)**

Review syllabus

Lapsley, D. (2014). The promise and peril of coming of age in the 21st century. In *Journal of Character Education*.

Theories/Models of Human Development

Lerner, R. (1998). Theories of human development: Contemporary perspectives. In (R. Lerner, vol. ed.) *Handbook of Child Psychology, Vol. I* (W. Damon, series ed.), pp. 1-24. New York: Wiley Press. (optional)

Tuesday, June 12 **Theories of Human Development**

Sameroff, A. (2010). A unified theory of development: A dialectic integration of nature and nurture. *Child Development, 81*, 6-28.

Nothing is quite so practical as a good theory. Kurt Lewin, 1945 [Lewin Revisited](#)

Wed., June 13 **Contexts: Classrooms, Schools, & Stage-Environment Fit**

Eccles, J.S. and Roeser (2009). Schools, Academic Motivation, and Stage-environment fit. In R. M. Lerner, & L. Steinberg (Eds.), *Handbook of Adolescent Psychology, 2nd Ed.* New York: Wiley.

Jardine, D. W. (2012). Pedagogy Left in Peace: Cultivating Free Spaces in Teaching and Learning. (Excerpts)

National Research Council (2003). Climate, organization, composition, and size of schools. Chapter 4 in *Engaging schools: Fostering high school students' motivation to learn*. Available at <http://www.nap.edu/catalog/10421.html>. (optional)

Excerpts from J. Kozol (2004): *The Shame of a Nation* (optional)

Thurs., June 14 **Contexts: Family and Peers**

Greene, S. & Long, J. (2011). Flipping the script: Honoring and supporting parent involvement. In Compton-Lilly, C. & Green, S. (Eds.), *Bedtime stories and book reports: Connecting parent involvement and family literacy* (pp. 15-26, Chpt. 1). New York: Teachers College Press.

Stuart Greene and Joyce Long are co-founders of *No Parent Left Behind*. They facilitate research and education on the link between parents and school.

National Research Council (2003). Family, community and peers. Chapter in *Engaging students: Fostering high school students' motivation to learn*

Friday, June 15 **Contexts: Neighborhoods, Community and Mesosystem**

Benson, P., Leffert, Scales, P. & Blyth, D. (1998). Beyond the “village” rhetoric: Creating healthy communities for children and adolescents. *Applied Developmental Science*, 2(3), 138-159.

Wickrama, K.A.S. & Noh, S. (2010). The long arm of community: The influence of childhood community contexts across the early life course. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 39, 894-910. (optional)

Extension Presentations?

Essay Assignment for Week 1 due: Friday by 10:00 am. Submit electronically.

Week 2 **Theme: Cognitive Development**

ACE Pillar: Professional/Academic

Monday, June 18: **Cognitive Development** [See Handout]

Moshman, D. (2011). Chapter 1: *Piaget's Theory of Formal Operations*

Wadsworth, B.J. (1989). Chapters 1 and 2 *from Piaget's theory of cognitive and affective development, 4th Ed.* (pp. 9-32). New York: Longman.

NRC (2000). *How People Learn*, Chapters 2, 4

This [report](#) from the National Research Council is available at

<https://www.nap.edu/catalog/9853/how-people-learn-brain-mind-experience-and-school-expanded-edition>

Tuesday, June 19 **Constructivist Teaching and Learning**

Anderson, L.M. (1989a). Learners and learning. In M.C. Reynolds (Ed.), *Knowledge base for the beginning teacher* (pp. 85-99). Oxford: Pergamon Press

Chi, M. T. H. (2009). Active-Constructive-Interactive: A conceptual framework for differentiating learning activities. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 73-105.

Wed., June 20

Constructivism (cont.)

Anderson, L.M. (1989b). Classroom instruction. In M.C. Reynolds (Ed.), *Knowledge base for the beginning teacher* (pp. 101-115). Oxford: Pergamon Press

Moshman, D. (2011). Chapter 2: Inference, Thinking, and Reasoning

Rothstein, D. & Santana, L. (2011) Teaching students to ask their own questions: One small change can yield big results. *Harvard Education Letter*, 27(5), 1-2, Available at: <http://www.hepg.org/hel/article/507#home> (optional)

Thurs., June 21

Teaching for Deep Understanding

Moshman, D. (2011). Chapter 3: *Meta Cognition and Epistemic Cognition*

Leithwood, K. A et al. (2006) (Eds.) *Teaching for Deep Understanding* CA: Sage Publications. (Excerpts)

Dunbar, K., Fugelsang, J., & Stein, C. (2007). Do naive theories ever go away? Using brain and behavior to understand changes in concepts. In M. Lovett, & P. Shah (Eds.), *Thinking with data* (pp. 193-206). New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. https://www.academia.edu/169259/Brain_and_reasoning_in_physics (optional)

Friday, June 22

Teaching for Successful Intelligence [readings may change]

Sternberg, R.J. Raising the achievement of all students: Teaching for successful intelligence. *Educational Psychology Review*, 14, 383-393

Albert, D. & Steinberg, L. (2011). Judgment and decision-making in adolescence. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 21, 211-224. (optional)
http://www.researchgate.net/profile/Dustin_Albert/publication/229774321_Judgment_and_Decision_Making_in_Adolescence/links/0f3175374e175c9c56000000.pdf

Extension Presentations

Essay Assignment for Week 2 due: Friday by 5:00 pm

Week 3

Theme: Moral Development and Education

ACE Pillars: Spirituality, Professional/Academic

Monday, June 25: Introduction To Moral Development & Character Education

Lapsley D. K. & Yeager, D. (2014): *Moral-Character Education*. In I. Weiner (Ed.), *Handbook of psychology* (Vol. 7, Educational Psychology, W. Reynolds & G. Miller, Vol. Eds.), New York: Wiley

Tuesday, June 26 Moral Stage Theory and Beyond: Educational Implications

**Moshman, D. (2011). Chapter 5: Kohlberg's Theory of Moral Development
Chapter 6: Justice, Care, & Virtue**

D. Lapsley (2005). Moral Stage Theory (Chapter 2) in *Handbook of Moral Development* (M. Killen & J. Smetana, Eds.) (optional)

Wed., June 27

Further Theoretical Perspectives on Moral/Character Development

Moshman, D. (2011). Chapter 7: Principles and Perspective Taking

Chapter 8: The Construction of Morality (optional)

Dewey, J. (1909). *Moral Principles in Education* (Excerpts). (optional)

Character Education Partnership: *Eleven Principles of Character Education*
<http://www.character.org/more-resources/11-principles/>

Gazzaniga, M. (2008). *The Moral Compass Within* (Chapter 4) in *Human: The Science of What Makes Us Unique*. (Optional)

Thurs., June 28

Character Development and Sport

Possible guest speaker: **Dr. Clark Power**
Founder, [Play Like a Champion](#)

Moral Education for Democratic Citizenship: Classrooms & Beyond

Brandenberger, J. (1998). Developmental Psychology and Service Learning, *In With Service in Mind*, American Association for Higher Education.

Cress, C. M. (2011). Pedagogical and epistemological approaches to service-learning: Connecting academic content to community service. In C. M. Cress, D. M. Donahue, & Associates (Eds.), *Democratic Dilemmas of Teaching Service-Learning*. Sterling, VA: Stylus. (Optional)

Youniss, J. and Yates, M. (1997). Chap 9: Ten Ideas, in *Community Service and Social Responsibility In Youth*. (Optional)

Power, C. (2002). Building democratic community: A radical approach to moral education. In W. Damon (Ed.), *Bringing in a new era in character education* (pp. 129-148). Stanford, CA: Hoover Institution Press. (Optional)

[Leading Moral Dilemma Discussions](#), on eReserve (Optional)

Friday, June 29

From Character to Justice

Bergman, R. (2011). *Catholic Social Learning: Educating the Faith that Does Justice*. Fordham University Press. (Excerpts)

Goodman, D. J. (2011) *Promoting Diversity and Social Justice: Educating People from Privileged Groups* (2nd edition). (Excerpts, optional)

Extension Presentations

Essay Assignment Week 3 due: Friday by 5:00 pm

Week 4
and week 5 **Theme: Self/Identity & Mechanisms of Risk, Protection
and Resilience**

ACE Pillars: Community, Professional/Academic and Spirituality

Lectures & Readings

**Monday, July 2 &
Tuesday, July 3:** **No Class**

Wed., July 4 **Identity Development**

**Moshman, D. (2011). Chapter 9: Erikson's Theory of Identity Formation
Chapter 10: Identity as a Theory of Oneself**

Lapsley, D. (in press). Moral-Self Identity as the Aim of Education (Optional)

S. Harter (1999). *The Construction of the Self: A Developmental Perspective*
Chapter 12 → Interventions to promote adaptive self-evaluation. (Optional)

Tatum, B. D. (2013). The complexity of identity. In M. Adams et al. (Eds),
Readings for Diversity and Social Justice, 3rd edition. (Optional)

Thurs., July 5 **Learning and the Self: Mindset, Ability, and Talent Development**

Excerpts from C. Dweck, *Mindset* (2006)

Yeager, D. S., et al. (2014). The Far-reaching effects of believing people can change: Implicit theories of personality shape stress, health, and achievement during adolescence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 106(6), 867-884. <https://labs.la.utexas.edu/adrg/files/2013/12/Yeager-et-al-stress-health-grades.pdf>

Yeah: ACE graduate makes good (scholarship)

Yeager, D.S., Henderson, M., Paunesku, D., Walton, G., D'Mello, S., Spitzer, R. & Duckwork, A.L. (2014). Boring but important: A self-transcendent purpose for learning fosters academic self-regulation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 107, 559-580/

Excerpts from D. Coyle, *The Talent Code*.

Fri, July 6

Resilience: Theory and Practice

Moshman, D. (2011). Chapter 15: *Rationality, Liberty, and Education*

Yeager, D. & Dweck, C. (2012). Mindsets that promote resilience...*Educational Psychologist*, 47, 302-314. [Article and Yeager at Research Gate](#)

Yeager, D. (2017). Social-emotional learning programs for adolescents. *The Future of Children*, 27, 31-47. (Optional)

Measure of America: An Alternative View of Human Development
http://www.measureofamerica.org/measure_of_america2013-2014/ (Optional)

Monday, July 9

Risk, Resilience and Developmental Challenges of Poverty

Documentary: *Waiting for the World to Change*

Waldfogel, J., Graigie, T. A., & Brooks-Gunn, J. F (2010).
Fragile families and child wellbeing, in *The Future of Children*.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3074431/>

Tuesday, July 10

Civil Rights, Multicultural Education, and Human Development

[Possible guest speaker]

In July 1964 the Civil Rights Act was signed. The Act was designed, in part, to end racial segregation in schools and foster educational equality. Where are we now after 50 years? What are the developmental consequences? What can teachers do?

See: <http://www.civilrights.org/resources/civilrights101/desegregation.html>

[CPR Researchers Reaffirm Findings of Increasing Segregation](#)

Wed., July 11

Resilience (continued)

Extension Presentations

Thurs, July 12

Thinking Developmentally — Course Integration — Conference Notes

Selman, R. (2003). *Thinking like a developmentalist: Understanding social awareness through its promotion and assessment*.

Extension Presentations

Friday, July 13

Conference Planning and Development
During class time: meet in groups. Office hours available.

Monday, July 16

No Class

Tuesday, July 17

ACE Teaching Fellows Conference

1:00 to 5:00 pm in Debartolo Classroom Building

Present in time allotted, then attend sessions in remaining time

Indiana School Setting Developmental Standards for Educators

<http://www.doe.in.gov/sites/default/files/licensing/all-grade-standards.pdf>

EDU 60455 Development & Moral Education in Adolescence — State Standards Addressed				
Learning Objectives in the Context of Indiana Developmental Standards	Indiana Developmental Standards		Standards Addressed During Course	
	Middle School	High School	Addressed where/when?	Assessed how?
Students will learn....				
Major concepts, theories, and processes related to the cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, physical, and moral development of students in grades 5–12, and factors in the home, school, community, and broader environment that influence the development of students at this level	1.1	1.1	Weeks 1 to 4	Weekly papers and Teaching Fellows Conference
Knowledge of developmental characteristics and developmental variation for students in grades 5–12, and the ability to use this knowledge to inform instructional decision making and promote student success	1.2	1.2	Weeks 1 to 3	Papers and Conference
Typical developmental challenges for students in grades 5–12 (e.g., in relation to peer interactions, identity formation, self-esteem, risk taking, and educational decision making), and the ability to help students address these challenges	1.3	1.3	Weeks 1 to 4, especially 4	Papers and Conference
Knowledge of types of student diversity (e.g., cultural, economic, and linguistic background; gender; religion; family structure), and the ability to use this knowledge to promote learning and development for students with diverse backgrounds, characteristics, and needs	1.4	1.4	Week 1 Week 4	Papers and Conference
Knowledge of major theories and concepts related to the learning process, and the ability to apply this knowledge to enhance student learning in varied educational contexts, including project-based learning contexts	2.1	2.1	Week 2, especially	Paper and Conference
Processes by which students construct meaning and acquire skills, including critical- and creative-thinking skills, and the ability to facilitate these processes for students with diverse characteristics and needs	2.2	2.2	Week 2, especially	Paper and Conference
Knowledge of how student learning is influenced by different types of instructional practices and teacher behaviors, and the ability to use this knowledge to promote learning for all students	2.3	2.3	Week 2	Paper and Conference
Procedures for making instruction rigorous and relevant to students and for linking new learning to students' experiences and prior knowledge, and the ability to use these procedures to facilitate student learning	2.4	2.4	Week 2	Paper and Conference
Strategies for promoting students' independent thinking and learning, reflection, and higher-order thinking, and the ability to use these strategies to promote students' growth as learners	2.5	2.5	Week 2, 3, 4	Papers and Conference
Knowledge of how various individual factors (e.g., prior learning and experiences, interests, talents) and factors in the home, school, and community influence learning processes, and the ability to use this knowledge to improve teaching effectiveness and learning outcomes	2.8	2.8	Weeks 1 and 4	Papers and Conference
Knowledge of the purposes of curriculum mapping, and the ability to plan, enact, monitor, and analyze curriculum map data and resultant student learning	3.2	3.2	Week 2 and 4	Papers
Knowledge of the characteristics, uses, benefits, and limitations of various instructional approaches, and the ability to apply research-based best practices to	3.4	3.4	Weeks 1 to 4	Papers and Conference

meet a variety of instructional needs, make content comprehensible and relevant to students, and promote students' active involvement in their learning				
The ability to develop and implement project-based learning experiences that guide students to analyze the complexities of an issue and use creative thinking and innovative approaches to solve problems	3.5	3.5	Week 2 and 3	Papers
Knowledge of the principles of universal design for learning (UDL) and how to apply UDL guidelines to incorporate the flexibility necessary to maximize learning opportunities for all students	3.7	3.7	Weeks 1 to 4	Papers and Conference
The ability to plan and adapt learner-centered instruction that reflects cultural competency; is responsive to the characteristics, strengths, experiences, and needs of each student; and promotes all students' development and learning	3.8, 5.3	3.8, 5.3	Weeks 1, 2, 3, 4	Paper and Conference
The ability to apply skills and strategies for creating a safe, healthy, supportive, and inclusive learning environment that encourages all students' engagement, collaboration, and sense of belonging	5.1	5.1	Weeks 1, 3 especially	Papers and Conference
The ability to apply skills and strategies for establishing a culture of learning that emphasizes high expectations for all students, promotes self-motivation, and encourages students' sense of responsibility for their own learning	5.2	5.2	Weeks 2 and 3	Papers and Conference
Knowledge of various classroom management approaches, including relationships between specific management practices and student learning, attitudes, and behaviors, and the ability to use this knowledge to create an organized and productive learning environment that maximizes students' time on task; facilitates learning; and encourages student self-regulation, responsibility, and accountability	5.5	5.5	Weeks 2 and 3	Papers and Conference
The ability to apply skills and strategies for establishing collaborative relationships with parents/guardians, other professionals, and community partners to support and enhance student learning	6.1	6.1	Weeks 1 and 4, especially	Papers
The ability to apply skills and strategies for facilitating the involvement of parents/guardians in their children's education	6.2	6.2	Weeks 1 and 4, especially	Papers

Student mastery of topics and skills outlined in the state standards will be recognized/documented via completion of course elements and assignments.
