

# Notes for Honors Analysis I

Ting Gong

Started Aug. 28, 2019, Last revision December 15, 2019

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>One Variable Calculus</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Aug. 28, 2019 . . . . .	1
1.1.1	Uniform Structures - Interchanging Limits . . . . .	1
1.2	Aug. 30, 2019 . . . . .	2
1.2.1	An application to real-valued functions . . . . .	2
1.3	Sep. 2, 2019 . . . . .	3
1.4	Sep. 4, 2019 . . . . .	4
1.5	Sep. 6, 2019 . . . . .	4
1.6	Sep. 9, 2019 . . . . .	5
1.7	Sep. 11, 2019 . . . . .	6
1.7.1	A Digression on Taylor expansions and seires . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>A First Look at Fourier Series</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Sep. 13, 2019 . . . . .	8
2.1.1	Standard summability method for Fourier Series . . . . .	8
2.2	Sep. 16, 2019 . . . . .	9
2.2.1	Examples of Fourier Series . . . . .	9
2.3	Sep. 18, 2019 . . . . .	9
2.4	Sep. 20, 2019 . . . . .	10
2.5	Sep. 23, 2019 . . . . .	11
2.6	Sep. 25, 2019 . . . . .	11
2.7	Sep. 27, 2019 . . . . .	12
2.7.1	Convolution . . . . .	12
2.8	Sep. 30, 2019 . . . . .	13
2.9	Oct. 2, 2019 . . . . .	13
2.9.1	Dirichlet Kernel . . . . .	13
2.10	Oct. 4, 2019 . . . . .	14
2.10.1	Fejer/Cesaro kernel . . . . .	14
2.11	Oct. 9, 2019 . . . . .	14
2.12	Oct. 11, 2019 . . . . .	15
2.13	Oct. 14 . . . . .	16
2.14	Oct. 16, 2019 . . . . .	17

2.15	Oct. 18, 2019 . . . . .	18
2.15.1	Abel means/Poisson kernel . . . . .	18
2.16	Oct. 28, 2019 . . . . .	18
2.16.1	Quick preview . . . . .	18
<b>3</b>	<b>Metric Space</b>	<b>20</b>
3.1	Oct. 30, 2019 . . . . .	20
3.2	Nov. 1, 2019 . . . . .	21
3.3	Nov. 4, 2019 . . . . .	21
3.4	Nov. 6, 2019 . . . . .	22
3.4.1	Topological spaces . . . . .	22
3.5	Nov. 8, 2019 . . . . .	23
3.6	Nov. 11, 2019 . . . . .	23
3.7	Nov. 13, 2019 . . . . .	24
3.8	Nov. 18, 2019 . . . . .	24
3.9	Nov. 20, 2019 . . . . .	25
<b>4</b>	<b>Elements of Functional Analysis</b>	<b>26</b>
4.1	Nov. 20, 2019 . . . . .	26
4.2	Nov. 22, 2019 . . . . .	26
4.3	25 Nov. 2019 . . . . .	27
4.4	Dec. 2, 2019 . . . . .	28
4.4.1	Compactness in spaces of continuous functions . . . . .	28
4.5	Dec. 4, 2019 . . . . .	29
4.6	Dec. 6, 2019 . . . . .	30
4.7	Dec. 9, 2019 . . . . .	30
4.7.1	Hilbert Spaces . . . . .	30
4.8	Dec. 11, 2019 . . . . .	31

# Chapter 1

## One Variable Calculus

### 1.1 Aug. 28, 2019

#### 1.1.1 Uniform Structures - Interchanging Limits

Let  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  be a double sequence, in general, limits cannot be interchanged:

$$\lim_i \lim_j a_{ij} \neq \lim_j \lim_i a_{ij}$$

**Example 1.1.1.** 1.  $a_{ij} = \frac{i}{i+j}, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$

2.  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{(1+x^2)^k}$ . We observe that  $f$  is not continuous at  $x = 0$ , and each  $f_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{x^2}{(1+x^2)^k}$  is continuous, so that we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f_n(x)$$

One can compute the geometric series to observe the continuous fact:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0 \\ 1 + x^2, & x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Notice that  $f_n$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  exists for all  $n \in \mathbb{R}$  but  $f$  need not be continuous.

3. For differential functions: if  $f_n$  is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  exists for all  $x$ , and  $f$  is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$ , yet  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x)$  need not equal  $f'(x)$ .

**Definition 1.1.2.** Let  $f_n, f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $S$  is a non-empty set.  $f_n$  converges uniformly to  $f$  in  $S$  if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N > 0$  such that  $\forall n \geq N, \forall x \in S, |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ , or we can denote  $\|f_n - f\|_{\text{sup}} := \sup_{x \in S} |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ .

**Proposition 1.1.3.** Let  $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  be a double sequence,  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , satisfying the following:

1.  $\forall i, \lim_j b_{ij} = L_j$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}$

2.  $\forall j, \lim_i b_{ij} = \tilde{L}_j$  in  $\mathbb{R}$

Then the following holds:

1.  $\lim_i b_{ij} = \tilde{L}_j$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}$  uniformly  $\forall j$

2.  $\lim_i L_i = \lim_j \tilde{L}_j$  (interchange of limits)

3.  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists i_0 > 0, \exists j_0 > 0$  such that  $\forall i \geq i_0, \forall j \geq j_0, |b_{ij} - L_i| < \epsilon$  (double limit)

*Proof.* (i) Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , from condition 1, we have  $\forall i, \forall j_0 \geq j, |b_{ij} - L_i| \leq \epsilon$ , then from condition 2, we have  $\forall j, \forall i_0 \geq i, |b_{ij} - \tilde{L}_j| < \epsilon$ . Therefore,  $\forall j, j' \geq j_0, |\tilde{L}_j - \tilde{L}_{j'}| \leq |\tilde{L}_j - L_i| + |L_i - \tilde{L}_{j'}|$ . Since  $|\tilde{L}_j - L_i| \leq |\tilde{L}_j - b_{ij}| + |b_{ij} - L_i| < 2\epsilon$ , and similarly  $|L_i - \tilde{L}_{j'}| \leq |L_i - b_{ij}| + |b_{ij} - \tilde{L}_{j'}| < 2\epsilon$ , we have  $|\tilde{L}_j - \tilde{L}_{j'}| \leq 4\epsilon$ . Therefore,  $\{\tilde{L}_j\}$  is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{R}$  and so converges to  $\lim_j \tilde{L}_j = L$  for some  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(ii) Take  $j = j_0$ . For some  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\forall i \geq i_0(j_0), |L_i - L| \leq |L_i - b_{ij_0}| + |b_{ij_0} - \tilde{L}_{j_0}| + |\tilde{L}_{j_0} - L| < 6\epsilon$ . Therefore,  $\lim_i L_i = L = \lim_j \tilde{L}_j$ .

(iii) From above, we have  $\exists j_0 > 0, \exists i_1 > 0$  such that  $\forall i \geq i_1, \forall j \geq j_0, |b_{ij} - L_i| \leq |b_{ij} - L_i| + |L_i - L| < 2\epsilon$  uniformly of the  $\tilde{L}_j$  limit. Also,  $\exists j_1 > 0, \exists i_0 > 0$  such that  $\forall i \geq i_0, \forall j \geq j_0, |b_{ij} - \tilde{L}_j| \leq |b_{ij} - \tilde{L}_j| + |\tilde{L}_j - L| < 2\epsilon$  uniformly of the  $L_i$  limit.  $\square$

## 1.2 Aug. 30, 2019

**Remark 1.2.1.** The above proposition can be used to derive e.g. the Leibniz test of the alternating series, the Weierstrass M-test (for function series) and test for convergence of double series/iterated sums, e.g. Let  $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be the extended real line, if  $a_{ij} \geq 0$ , then  $\sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} = \sum_j \sum_i a_{ij}$ .

### 1.2.1 An application to real-valued functions

Recall the Heine definition of continuity:

**Definition 1.2.2.**  $f$  is continuous at  $x \in [a, b]$  if  $\forall y_i \rightarrow x, f(y_i) \rightarrow x$ .

**Theorem 1.2.3.** Assume  $f_j : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $-\infty < a < b < \infty, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and  $f_j$  is continuous at  $x \in [a, b]$ . If  $f_j \rightarrow f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$ , then  $f$  is continuous at  $x \in [a, b]$

*Proof.* The theorem follows directly from Proposition 1.1.3 under the following: Let  $y_i \rightarrow x$  when  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and set  $b_{ij} = f_j(y_i)$ . Then if  $f_j$  are continuous at  $x \in [a, b]$ , then (ii) of proposition tells us that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_{ij} = \tilde{L}_j$  and that  $f_j \rightarrow_{j \rightarrow \infty} f$  uniformly in  $x \in [a, b]$  then (i) of proposition holds  $\lim_j b_{ij} = L_j$ . Therefore it follows  $\square$

**Remark 1.2.4.** In general a typical argument goes like this:  $|f(y) - f(x)| \leq |f(y) - f_j(y)| + |f_j(y) - f_j(x)| + |f_j(x) - f(x)| < 3\epsilon$

### 1.3 Sep. 2, 2019

**Example 1.3.1.** This is a counterexample, consider  $f_1 = x$ ,  $f_2 = x^2$ ,  $f_3 = x^3$  etc. We see that each function  $f_n$  is continuous,  $\forall n, f_n(1) = 1$ .  $\forall x \in [0, 1), \forall n, f_n \rightarrow 0$ . But  $f_\infty(x)$  is not continuous.

**Question 1.3.2.** What happens if we interchange the roles of  $n$  and  $x$ ?

To be specific, this question equals to the following : what arbitrary convergence of  $f_j$  and  $f_j$  continuous in  $x$  uniformly in  $j$ ?

**Definition 1.3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of functions defined on  $[a, b]$ ,  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ .

1.  $\mathcal{F}$  is *equicontinuous* on  $[a, b]$  if  $\forall x \in [a, b], \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\forall y \in [a, b], |y - x| < \delta$  implies  $|f(y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}$ .
2.  $\mathcal{F}$  is *pointwise bounded* on  $[a, b]$  if:  $\forall x \in [a, b], \exists M > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq M, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}$
3.  $\mathcal{F}$  is *uniformly equicontinuous* if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\forall x \in [a, b], \forall y \in [a, b], |y - x| < \delta$  implies  $|f(y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}$ .
4.  $\mathcal{F}$  is *uniformly bounded* on  $[a, b]$  if:  $\exists M > 0$  such that  $\forall x \in [a, b], |f(x)| \leq M, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}$

**Theorem 1.3.4.** (Ascoli)  $\{f_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}: f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are equicontinuous and pointwise bounded on  $[a, b]$  implies

1.  $\{f_n\}$  is uniformly equicontinuous on  $[a, b]$
2.  $\{f_n\}$  is uniformly bounded on  $[a, b]$
3.  $\{f_n\}$  has a uniformly converging subsequence.

**Remark 1.3.5.** Because of the last conclusion, Ascoli can be viewed as a "compactness result" later on.

Do not confuse uniform convergence of the sequence of functions  $f_n$  with uniform continuity of a single function  $f$  (Recall definition:  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly continuous if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\forall x, y \in [a, b],$  if  $|x - y| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ ).

Recall that  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$  implies  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ .

If  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  which is closed and bounded, then  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$ . And  $f$  attains its extreme values in  $[a, b]$ .

*Proof to 1.3.4:* First, observe that each  $f_n$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$ :

$\forall n > 0, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\forall x, y \in [a, b], |x - y| < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

And also each  $f_n$  attains its min/max values in  $[a, b]$ , i.e.  $\forall n > 0, \exists M_n > 0$  such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq M, \forall x \in [a, b]$ .

Out first two tasks is to show that the above hold uniformly for each  $n$ .

**Uniform Bounded:** if not, then  $\forall n, \exists x_n \in [a, b], \exists f_n$  such that  $|f_n(x_n)| > n$ . Then by Bolzano-Weierstrauss, we can take  $\{x_{n_k}\} \subset \{x_n\}$  with  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x_0$  for some  $x_0 \in [a, b]$ . So by equicontinuity assumption, we have the following:

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\forall k$  sufficiently large,  $|x_{n_k} - x_0| < \delta$ , then  $n_k < |f_{n_k}(x_{n_k})| \leq |f_{n_k}(x_{n_k}) - f_{n_k}(x_0)| + |f_{n_k}(x_0)| < \varepsilon + \infty$ .

## 1.4 Sep. 4, 2019

**Uniform equicontinuity:** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , since each  $f_n$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$ , let  $\forall n, \delta_n(\varepsilon) = \min\{1, \sup\{\delta_n > 0 | \forall x, y \in [a, b], |x - y| < \delta_n \implies |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \varepsilon\}\}$ . Our goal is to show that  $\delta(\varepsilon) = \inf_n \delta_n(\varepsilon) > 0$ . Because then:  $\forall 0 \leq x, y \leq b, |x - y| < \delta(\varepsilon) \leq \delta_n(\varepsilon)$ , therefore,  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \varepsilon, \forall n$ . Therefore we have equicontinuity.

Argue by contradiction and suppose that  $\delta(\varepsilon) = 0$ , then  $\forall k \geq 1, \exists n_k > 0, \delta_{n_k}(\varepsilon) < 1/k$ , and also  $\exists a \leq x_k, y_k \leq b$  such that  $\delta_{n_k} < |x_k - y_k| < 1/k$ , and so  $|f_{n_k}(x_k) - f_{n_k}(y_k)| \geq \varepsilon$ . Again by Bolzano-Weierstrauss, we can pick a subsequence  $\{x_{n_{k_l}}\} \subset \{x_{n_k}\}$  such that  $x_{n_{k_l}} \xrightarrow{l \rightarrow \infty} x_0$  for some  $x_0 \in [a, b]$ . And furthermore,  $y_{n_{k_l}} \xrightarrow{l \rightarrow \infty} x_0$ , since  $|y_{n_{k_l}} - x_0| \leq |y_{n_{k_l}} - x_{n_{k_l}}| + |x_{n_{k_l}} - x_0| \leq 1/k$ . From the assumption of equicontinuous of  $f_n$ 's at  $x_0$ , we have  $\exists \delta_1 > 0, \forall l$  sufficiently large so that  $|x_{n_{k_l}} - x_0| < \delta_1$  and  $|y_{n_{k_l}} - x_0| < \delta_1$ . Then  $|f_{n_{k_l}}(x_{n_{k_l}}) - f_{n_{k_l}}(x_0)| < \varepsilon/2$  and  $|f_{n_{k_l}}(y_{n_{k_l}}) - f_{n_{k_l}}(x_0)| < \varepsilon/2$ . Now we have  $\varepsilon < |f_{n_k}(x_k) - f_{n_k}(y_k)| \leq |f_{n_{k_l}}(x_{n_{k_l}}) - f_{n_{k_l}}(y_{n_{k_l}})| < \varepsilon$ . Contradiction.

**Uniform converging subsequence of  $\{f_n\}$ :** First, we construct a subsequence of  $\{f_n\}$  converging on a countable dense subset of  $[a, b]$ . (using uniform bounded of  $\{f_n\}$ ) And must show that the subsequence converges in fact on all of  $[a, b]$ . (using uniform equicontinuity of  $\{f_n\}$ ). First enumerate all rationals in  $[a, b]$ :  $x_1, \dots$ . And by using boundedness of  $f_n$ :  $\forall k, \exists M_k > 0, |f_n(x_k)| \leq M_k, \forall n$ . And by Bolzano-Weierstrauss,  $\forall k$ , there exists a subsequence of  $\{f_n(x_k)\}$  that converges. Now, pick first a subsequence  $\{f_{1,l}\}$  of  $\{f_n\}$  that converges at  $x_1$ . Next, pick a subsequence  $f_{2,l}$  of  $\{f_{1,l}\}$  that converges at  $x_2$ . Continue this way to get the following table:

$$\begin{array}{l} f_{11}, f_{12}, f_{13}, f_{14}, \dots \\ f_{21}, f_{22}, f_{23}, f_{24}, \dots \\ f_{31}, f_{32}, f_{33}, f_{34}, \dots \\ \dots \end{array}$$

Pick now the diagonal terms to get the following  $\{f_{nn}\} \subset f_n$  that converges at each rational  $x_1, \dots \in [a, b]$ . It remains to show that  $\{f_{kk}\}$  converges everywhere on  $[a, b]$ .

## 1.5 Sep. 6, 2019

Given  $\delta > 0$  we can pick  $r(\delta) > 0$  and the rationals  $x_1, \dots, x_{r(\delta)}$  in  $[a, b]$  such that  $\forall x \in [a, b], \exists l \in \{1, \dots, r(\delta)\}$ , such that  $|x - x_l| < \delta$ . Further, observe that since each  $f_{k,k}$  converges at rationals in  $[a, b]$ , we can also pick  $\forall l \in \{1, \dots, r(\delta)\}, \exists N_l > 0, \forall n, m \geq N_2, |f_{n,n}(x_k) - f_{m,m}(x_l)| < \varepsilon$ . Finally, by the triangle inequality, we have:  $\exists N = \max\{N_1, \dots, N_{r(\delta)}\} > 0$ ,

$\forall n, m \geq N, \forall x \in [a, b], |f_{m,m} - f_{n,n}| \leq |f_{n,n}(x) - f_{m,m}(x)| + |f_{n,n}(x) - f_{m,m}(x)| + |f_{m,m}(x) - f_{m,m}(x)| < 3\varepsilon.$   $\square$

Note that we are working on  $\mathcal{F} = C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$  which is a infinite dimensional vector space of continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 1.5.1.** 1.

**Corollary 1.5.2.**  $\{f_k\}$  is continuous and differentiable on  $[a, b]$  and  $\exists c > 0, \forall k, |f_k(x)|, |f'_k(x)| \leq c, \forall x \in [a, b]$ , then  $\{f_k\}$  has a uniformly converging subsequence.

*Proof.* Need to check that  $\{f_k\}$  is pointwise bounded on  $[a, b]$ , and it is equicontinuous on  $[a, b]$ . The first is clear from assumptions. The second follows from mean value theorem. Now apply Ascoli theorem.  $\square$

2.  $\{f_n(x) = x^n, 0 \leq x \leq 1, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is uniformly bounded but not equicontinuous on  $[0, 1]$
3.  $\{f_n(x) = x^n, 0 \leq x \leq 1, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is uniformly bounded but not equicontinuous on  $[0, 1]$
4.  $\{f_n(x) = n, 0 \leq x \leq 1, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is uniformly equicontinuous but not pointwise bounded on  $[0, 1]$ .

## 1.6 Sep. 9, 2019

For more special cases of the limit interchange

1. Interchange of limit and the Riemann intergral

**Theorem 1.6.1.**  $\{f_n\}$  are Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  (in particular, each  $f_n$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$ ). Let  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$ , then  $f$  is also Riemann Integrable on  $[a, b]$  and  $\lim_n \int_a^b f_n = \int_a^b \lim_n f_n$ .

*Proof.* First we show that  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ . For each  $n$  we define:  $\varepsilon_n = \sup |f_n(x) - f(x)| = \|f_n - f\|_{\text{sup}}$ . Then  $f_n - \varepsilon_n \leq f \leq f_n + \varepsilon_n$ . Thus  $f$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$ .  $\forall n, \int_a^b (f_n - \varepsilon_n) = \int_a^b (f_n - \varepsilon_n) \leq \int_a^b f \leq \int_a^b f \leq \int_a^b f_n + \varepsilon_n = \int_a^b (f_n + \varepsilon_n)$ . Therefore,  $0 \leq \int_a^b f - \int_a^b f \leq 2\varepsilon_n(b-a) \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

For the passage to the limit, just observe:  $|\int_a^b f_n(x)dx - \int_a^b f(x)dx| \leq \int_a^b |f_n(x) - f(x)|dx \leq \varepsilon_n(b-a) \rightarrow 0$   $\square$

2. Interchange of limits and differentiation.

**Remark 1.6.2.** Clearly, uniform convergence of (differentiable)  $f_n \rightarrow f$ , implies nothing about convergence of  $f'_n$ . Take e.g.  $f_n(x) = \sin(nx)/\sqrt{n}, x \in \mathbb{R}$ .



**Theorem 1.6.3.**  $\{f_n\}$  be differentiable on  $[a, b]$ , and  $\{f'_n\}$  converge uniformly on  $[a, b]$  and  $\{f_n(x_0)\}$  converges uniformly on  $[a, b]$  to some  $f$  and we have  $f'(x) = \lim_n f'_n(x), \forall x \in [a, b]$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ , pick  $N > 0, \forall n, m \geq N$ , we have  $|f_n(x_0) - f_m(x_0)| < \varepsilon/2$  and  $|f'_n(x) - f'_m(x)| < \varepsilon/2$ . Then,  $\forall m, n \geq N, \forall a \leq x \leq b, |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq |f_n(x) - f_m(x) - f_n(x_0) + f_m(x_0)| + |f_n(x_0) - f_m(x_0)| \leq |x - x_0| |f'_n(\xi) - f'_m(\xi)| + \varepsilon/2 \leq \varepsilon$ . Thus  $\{f_n\}$  are uniformly Cauchy on  $[a, b]$  and so converges uniformly to some  $f$ .

Next, let  $x \in [a, b]$  be arbitrary (but fixed for the rest of the proof). Define  $g_n(y) = f_n(y) - f_n(x)/(y - x), x, y \in [a, b]$ . By assumption, we have  $\lim_{y \rightarrow x} g_n(y) = f'_n(x)$ . Furthermore,  $\forall n, m \geq N, |g_n(y) - g_m(y)| = |(f_n(y) - f_m(y) - (f_n(x) - f_m(x)))/(y - x)| = |f'_n(c) - f'_m(c)| < \varepsilon/2(b - a)$ , so that  $g_n$  is uniformly Cauchy in  $a \leq y \neq x \leq b$ , and hence  $\{g_n\}$  converges uniformly to some limit function.

Finally, we have  $\lim_{y \rightarrow x} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(y) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(y) - f_n(x)/(y - x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} (f(y) - f(x))/(y - x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{y \rightarrow x} g_n(y) = \lim_n f'_n(x)$  by proposition of interchange limits.  $\square$

## 1.7 Sep. 11, 2019

**Corollary 1.7.1.**  $\{f_n\}$  are Riemann Integrable on  $[a, b]$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_n$  converges uniformly on  $[a, b]$ , then  $\int_a^b \sum_n f_n = \sum_n \int_a^b f_n$

**Corollary 1.7.2.** Assume that  $\{f_n(x_0)\}$  converges for some  $x_0 \in [a, b]$  cannot be dropped. Take the sequence  $f_n(x) = n$ .

### 1.7.1 A Digression on Taylor expansions and seires

**Notation:**  $k \in \mathbb{N} = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{Z}^+, I \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an interval.  $C^k(I; \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) = \{f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C} : f, f', \dots, f^k \text{ exists and are continuous on } I\}$ . In part,  $C^1(I) = \{\text{the space of continuous differentiable } f \text{ on } I\}$ .  $C^\infty(I) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} C^k(I)$ , and this is the space of functions of  $C^\infty$  or smooth functions.

**Proposition 1.7.3.** Taylor expansion:  $f \in C^{k+1}(I), x, a \in I \subset \mathbb{R}, \text{ open}$ . Then  $f(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x - a) + \dots + \frac{f^{(k)}(a)(x-a)^k}{k!} + \frac{1}{k!} \int_a^x (x - y)^k f^{(k+1)}(y) dy$

*Proof.* Use the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and induct on  $k$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.7.4.** Taylor series:  $f \in C^\infty(I), x, a \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , then we can associate with its infinite Taylor series:  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x - a)^k$ .

**Remark 1.7.5.** Typically, we adjust  $f$  so that  $a = 0$ .

**Remark 1.7.6.** Note that this series is an example of a power series:  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_n (x - a)^n$ .

**Definition 1.7.7.** A (real valued) function  $f(x)$  which has a power series expansion at each point of its domain is called (Real) analytic.

**Example 1.7.8.** Polynomials in  $x$ ,  $e^x$ ,  $\log x$ , trig functions  $\sin x$ ,  $\cos x$

**Notation:**  $C^\omega(I) = \{\text{the space of (real) analytic functions of } I\}$ .

We have  $C^\omega(I) \subsetneq C^\infty(I) \subsetneq C^k(I) \subsetneq \cdots C^2(I) \subsetneq C^1(I) \subsetneq C^0(I)$

**Example 1.7.9.** Take  $f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/x^2}, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$ . Check  $f^{(k)}(0) = 0$ , but  $f \in C^\infty(I)$  but  $f \notin C^\omega(I)$

**Remark 1.7.10.** Using  $f(x)$  above, we can construct other useful  $C^\infty$  functions, such as the *bump function*:  $g(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/(x+1)^2} e^{-1/(x-1)^2} e^2, & |x| \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

## Chapter 2

# A First Look at Fourier Series

### 2.1 Sep. 13, 2019

**Definition 2.1.1.** Let  $f$  be Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ , the Fourier Series of  $f$  is a power series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n) e^{2\pi i n x / l}$ , where  $l = b - a$  and  $\hat{f}(n) = 1/l \int_a^b e^{-2\pi i n x / l} f(x) dx$  is called the Fourier coefficient of  $f$ .

**Remark 2.1.2.** Typically,  $[a, b] = [-\pi, \pi]$  or  $[0, 2\pi]$  (also  $[0, 1]$  or  $[-1, 1]$ ). Note that both  $f$  and continuous Fourier Series can be viewed as either defined on the unit circle  $T^1 = S^1$  or defined on  $2\pi$  periodic function on  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $f(x) = \tilde{f}(e^{ix})$ , so that  $f(x) = f(x + 2\pi) = f(\cos x + i \sin x)$  by Euler formula

**Goal:** Understand relation between  $f$  and continuous Fourier Series.

**Question:** Are two functions whose Fourier Series coincide, necessarily equal (uniqueness)? In what sense does the Fourier Series of  $f$  converge to  $f$  (if at all) (Consequence)? How do we sum the Fourier Series of  $f$ ? (Using partial sums? Or Cesaro or Abel? Or something else?) (Summability)

Fourier noticed that any function  $f(x)$  can be represented as a sum:  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)) + \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x))$ , which let him to speculate that any function  $f(x)$  can be decomposed into  $\sum_n a_n \cos nx + \sum_n b_n \sin nx = \sum_n c_n e^{inx}$ , where  $a_n, b_n, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ .

In fact, suppose that  $f(x) = \sum_n c_n e^{inx}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then a formal calculation gives the following:  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_n c_n e^{inx} e^{-ikx} dx$ , if  $\sum_n c_n$  converges uniformly, then the above is:  $\sum_n c_n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(n-k)x} dx = 2\pi c_k$ . Thus we see that convergence and summability is crucial.

#### 2.1.1 Standard summability method for Fourier Series

Recall the partial sum of the Fourier Series of  $f$  has the following:  $s_N f(x) = \sum_{|n| \leq N} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx}$ ,  $-\pi \leq x \leq \pi$ , i.e.  $s_N f$  is a trigonometric polynomial.

**Question:** Does  $s_N f \rightarrow_{N \rightarrow \infty} f$ ? e.g. piecewise  $\forall x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ ? No, in general, because we can alter  $f$  at a point without changing the Fourier coefficients. Uniformly on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ ?

No, in general (of course), but yes if  $f$  is sufficiently smooth. In some integral? Yes, in a mean-square sense, (i.e, Lebesgue  $L^2$ ) even if  $f$  is only Riemann integrable.

**Remark 2.1.3.** If  $f$  is Riemann integrable, then the Fourier Seires of  $f$  converge to  $f$  everywhere except on a subset of  $[-\pi, \pi]$  of *Lebesgue Measure zero*

**Definition 2.1.4.** A set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is said to be of *Lebesgue measure zero* if  $\forall \varepsilon, \exists \{I_k\}$  open intervals, such that  $E \subset \bigcup_k I_k$  and  $\sum_k |I_k| < \varepsilon$  (Here:  $I = (a, b)$  or  $[a, b]$ , then  $|I| = b - a$ ).

## 2.2 Sep. 16, 2019

**Lemma 2.2.1.** Any countable (finite or infinite) union of sets of Lebesgue measure zero is a set of Lebesgue measure zero.

*Proof.* Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  are sets of measure zero. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ , given  $n$ , pick  $\{I_k^n\}$  open interval, and that  $E_n \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k^n$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |I_k^n| < \varepsilon/2^n$ . Then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \subset \bigcup_{n,k} I_k^n$  and  $\sum_{k,n=1}^{\infty} |I_k^n| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |I_k^n| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |I_k^n| \leq \varepsilon$  by proposition of interchange of limits.  $\square$

**Example 2.2.2.** 1. One point subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $E = \{x_0\}, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  has measure zero.  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , take  $I_1 = (x_0 - \varepsilon/2, x_0 + \varepsilon/2), I_2 = \dots = I_n = \emptyset$ .

2. Countable collections of points in  $\mathbb{R}$  has measure zero by lemma. In particular, the set  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a set of lebesgue measure zero in  $\mathbb{R}$ . In fact, first enumerate the rationals as  $r_1, \dots$ , next given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for each  $n$ , pick  $I_n = (r_n - \varepsilon/2^{n+1}, r_n + \varepsilon/2^{n+1})$ , so that  $\mathbb{R} \subset \bigcup_n I_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |I_n| \leq \varepsilon$ .

**Remark 2.2.3.** Therefore,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is measure theoretically small and yet  $\mathbb{Q}$  are topologically large, i.e.  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \exists r \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x < r < y$ .

### 2.2.1 Examples of Fourier Series

**Example 2.2.4.** Let  $f(x) = x, -\pi \leq x \leq \pi$ . Find the associated Fourier Series of  $f$ . Compute: if  $n \neq 0$ , then  $\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x e^{-inx} dx$ . Then we use integral by parts to get  $\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{in}$ . Thus the fourier series is  $\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{in} e^{inx} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{2in} (e^{inx} - e^{-inx}) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \sin nx$ . Thus, Fourier series of an odd  $f(x) = x$  is a sine series. And this series converges by Leibnitz.

## 2.3 Sep. 18, 2019

**Theorem 2.3.1.**  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , and  $\hat{f} = 0, \forall f \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $f = 0$  at all points of continuity.

*Proof.* By contradiction. First, assume that  $f$  is real valued and WLOG,  $x_0 = 0$ , is a point of continuity for  $f$  and  $f(0) > 0$ . We will construct a sequence of trigonometric polynomials that peak at  $x_0 = 0$  as follows:

- (1) Since  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0 = 0$ , pick  $0 < \delta \leq \pi/2$  so that  $f(x) > \frac{1}{2}f(0), \forall |x| < \delta$ .
- (2) Set  $p(x) = \varepsilon + \cos x$ , where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is chosen so that  $|p(x)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon/2, \forall \delta < |x| \leq \pi$
- (3) Since  $|p(0)| = 1 + \varepsilon$ , by continuity, pick  $0 < \sigma < \delta$  such that  $p(x) \geq 1 + \varepsilon/2, \forall |x| < \sigma$

Next observe that by assumption, we have  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)t(x)dx = 0, \forall t(x)$ -trigonometric polynomials on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . Now, compute  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)(p(x))^k dx = (\int_{|x| < \sigma} + \int_{\sigma \leq |x| < \delta} + \int_{\delta \leq |x| \leq \pi}) f(x)(p(x))^k dx$ .

Then

$$\int_{|x| < \sigma} f(x)(p(x))^k dx \geq \frac{1}{2}f(0)(1 + \varepsilon/2)^k 2\sigma > 0 \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\int_{\sigma \leq |x| < \delta} f(x)(p(x))^k dx \geq 0$$

$$\left| \int_{\delta \leq |x| \leq \pi} f(x)(p(x))^k dx \right| \leq \int_{\delta \leq |x| \leq \pi} |f(x)(p(x))^k| dx \leq (1 - \varepsilon)^k \|f\|_{\sup} 2\pi \rightarrow 0$$

Thus a contradiction for sufficiently large  $k$ . □

Next, assume that  $f$  is  $\mathbb{C}$  valued. Then, let  $u(x) = \frac{f(x)+f(\bar{x})}{2}, v(x) = \frac{f(x)-f(\bar{x})}{2i}$ . Then observe that  $\hat{f}(n) = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $u(\hat{n}) = v(\hat{n}) = 0$ . By the first part,  $u, v$  vanish at points of continuity which implies  $f = u + iv$  also vanishes at points of continuity.

**Goal:** If  $f, g$  are  $2\pi$ -periodic and continuous, and  $\hat{f}(n) = \hat{g}(n), \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $f = g$

## 2.4 Sep. 20, 2019

**Theorem 2.4.1** (Convergence Theorem).  $f$  is  $2\pi$  periodic, continuous and  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(n)| < \infty$  implies  $s_n f \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $\forall N > M, |s_N f(x) - s_M f(x)| = \left| \sum_{N=M+1}^M \hat{f}(n)e^{inx} \right| \leq \sum_{N=M+1}^N |\hat{f}(n)| \rightarrow 0$ . So that  $s_N f$  converges to  $g = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} s_N f$  uniformly on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . Furthermore:  $\hat{g}(n) = 1/2\pi \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inx} g(x) dx = 1/2\pi \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inx} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k)e^{ikx} dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i(k-n)x} dx = \hat{f}(n)$ . Since  $g$  is also continuous on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  as a uniform limit of continuous function, the theorem follows from uniqueness theorem. □

**Remark 2.4.2.** Landau Symbols: ("Big Oh" and "little oh")  $f(x) \sim O(g(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow a$  if  $\exists C > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq C|g(x)|$  as  $x \rightarrow a$ . In particular,  $f(x) \sim O(1)$ , this means  $f$  is bounded near  $x = a$

$f(x) \sim o(g(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow a$ , if  $f(x) \sim O(g(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow a$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0$

**Theorem 2.4.3** (Decay of Fourier Coefficients).  $f$  is  $2\pi$  periodic and of class  $C^k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ), then  $\hat{f}(n) \sim O(|n|^{-k})$  as  $|n| \rightarrow \pm\infty$

*Proof.* Integrate by parts:  $\forall n \neq 0, \hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inx} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{-in} e^{-inx} f(x) \Big|_{-\pi}^{\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{in} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inx} f'(x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{(in)^k} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inx} f^{(k)}(x) dx$  from which we have  $|\hat{f}(n)| \leq \frac{1}{(in)^k} \|f^{(k)}\|_{\text{sup}}$   $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.4** (Convergence Theorem). *f is  $2\pi$  periodic of demension  $C^k$ , then  $s_N f \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .*

*Proof.* Just note that:  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(n)| \leq |\hat{f}(0)| + \sum_{n \neq 0} |\hat{f}(n)| \leq |\hat{f}(0)| + \|f^{(k)}\|_{\text{sup}} \sum |n^{-k}| < \infty$   $\square$

**Remark 2.4.5.** An important formula:  $f \in C^k(\mathbb{T})$ , then  $f^{(\hat{k})}(n) = (-in)^k \hat{f}(n)$ .

## 2.5 Sep. 23, 2019

Observe that proof we gave boils down for  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$  since  $\hat{f}(n) \sim O(\frac{1}{n})$ . Therefore the decay as  $|n| \rightarrow +\infty$ . And we are lead to the *harmonic series*:  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(n)| \sim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{|n|}$ . But the result of uniform convergence of  $s_N f$  still holds, but requires a more sophisticated techniques. In fact, even integer results holds.

**Definition 2.5.1.** We say that a function  $f$  satisfies a *Holder condition* of class  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , if  $|f(x+h) - f(x)| \leq C|h|^\alpha$  for some constant  $C > 0$ , and all  $x, h$  for such the Left Hand side makes sense. When  $\alpha = 1$ , we alternatively say that  $f$  satisfies *Lipschitz condition* with constant  $C$ .

**Notation:** Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}, 0 < \alpha < 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $C^\alpha(I)$  =the space of function of Holder class  $0 < \alpha < 1$  on  $I$ . And  $C^{k+\alpha}(I) = C^{k,\alpha}(I)$  = the space of function of class  $C^k$ , where  $k$ -th derivative is of Holder class  $0 < \alpha < 1$  on  $I$ .  $Lip(I) = C^{0,1}(I)$ .

Observe that

$$C^1(I) \subsetneq Lip(I) \subsetneq C^\alpha(I) \subsetneq C^\beta(I) \subsetneq C(I)$$

It turns out that our last corollary holds for  $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$  on the other hand with  $\alpha > 1/2$ .  $\exists f \in C(\mathbb{T})$  where Fourier Series diverges at a point in  $\mathbb{T}$  or even at countably many points in  $\mathbb{T}$

## 2.6 Sep. 25, 2019

**Question:** How fast/slow does the Fourier Series of  $f$  converge if  $f$  has "low regularity"?

**Example 2.6.1.** Example of String plucking (I chose not to copy this example): the upshot is that the estimate on the convergence of the Fourier Series of  $f(x) = \pi/2 - |x|$  is sharp. But it is slow, mainly  $O(1/N)$ .

**Example 2.6.2.** The Holder Case: the decay of the Fourier Coefficients. Let  $f$  be  $C^\alpha(\mathbb{T}), 0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . First, compute  $\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{inx} f(x) dx = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in(x-\frac{\pi}{n})} f(x) dx$ . If  $f$  is Riemann integrable,  $2\pi$  periodic,  $\int_{-\pi-a}^{\pi+a} f(x) dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) dx$ . Next,  $\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \hat{f}(n) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{f}(n) = |\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{inx} (f(x) - f(x + \pi/n)) dx| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x) - f(x + \frac{\pi}{n})| dx \leq \frac{c}{2} \pi^\alpha \frac{1}{|n|^\alpha} \leq C|\pi/n|^\alpha$

## 2.7 Sep. 27, 2019

**Remark 2.7.1.** We have  $f \in C^k(\mathbb{T})$ , then  $\hat{f}(n) \sim O(1/|n|^k)$ . And  $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$ , then  $\hat{f}(n) \sim O(1/|n|^\alpha)$ .

The decay estimate is sharp in the following sense: (Weierstrauss Hardy function)  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k\alpha} e^{i2^k x}$ . And one can show that  $f \in C^\alpha$  and  $\hat{f}(n = 2^k) = 1/|n|^\alpha$ .

### 2.7.1 Convolution

**Definition 2.7.2.** Let  $f, g$  are  $2\pi$  periodic and Riemann integrable. Then their *convolution* is defined as:  $f \star g(x) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-t)g(t)dt$ . Note that the integral is well-defined because product of Riemann Integrable (bounded) are Riemann Integrable.

**Proposition 2.7.3** (Basic Properties of convolution). *Let  $f, g, h$  be  $2\pi$  periodic and Riemann Integrable. Then*

1.  $f \star (g \star h) = f \star g + f \star h$ ;  $(cf) \star g = c(f \star g) = f \star (cg)$
2.  $f \star g = g \star f$
3.  $(f \star g) \star h = f \star (g \star h)$
4.  $f \star g$  is a continuous function
5.  $f \hat{\star} g = \hat{f}\hat{g}$
6. If in addition,  $f \in C^k$ , then  $(f \star g)^{(k)} = f^{(k)} \star g$ .

*Proof.* 1 follows at once from the definition of convolution and the linearity properties of the Riemann Integral.

The 2,3,4,5 is proved by the following strategy. Step 1: proving assuming  $f, g, h$  are continuous. Step 2: approximate each  $f, g, h$  by a sequence of continuous functions.  $f_k, g_k, h_k$  of continuous functions using the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.7.4.** *If  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , then  $\exists f_k \in C([-\pi, \pi])$  such that  $\forall k, \|f_k\|_{\text{sup}} \leq \|f\|_{\text{sup}}$  and  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f_k(x) - f(x)|dx \rightarrow 0$*

And prove it for the limiting function.

4: Assume  $f, g$  are continuous. Compute:  $\forall -\pi \leq x, y \leq \pi, |f \star g(y) - f \star g(x)| = |\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (f(y-t) - f(x-t))y(t)dt| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |(f(y-t) - f(x-t))y(t)|dt$  Then by uniform continuity,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x, y \in [-\pi, \pi], |x - y| < \delta, \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|y\|_{\text{sup}}}$ , we know that the above integral is less than  $\varepsilon$ .

Next, assume  $f, g$  are Riemann Integrable. Use lemma to pick approximating sequences  $f_k, g_k$  of continuous functions. Write:  $f \star g - f_k \star g_k = (f - f_k) \star g + f_k \star (g - g_k)$ . And observe the following:  $\forall x \in [-\pi, \pi], |(f - f_k) \star g(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x-y) - f_k(x-y)||g(y)|dy \leq \varepsilon$ . Thus, we have shown that  $f_k \star (g - g_k), (f - f_k) \star g \rightarrow 0$  uniformly convergent.

## 2.8 Sep. 30, 2019

(v) First assume  $f, g$  are continuous. Compute  $\forall n, f \hat{\star} g = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inx} (\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-y)g(y)dy)dx = \hat{f}(n)\hat{g}(n)$ .

Next, assume that  $f, g$  are Riemann integrable and pick two approximate sequence  $f_k, g_k$  of continuous functions. Compute:  $|f_k \hat{\star} g_k - f \hat{\star} g(n)| = |\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inx} (f_k \star g_k - f \star g(x))dx| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f_k \star g_k - f \star g|dx$  which converges to 0 uniformly on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . Similarly,  $\forall n, |\hat{f}_k(n) - \hat{f}(n)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\hat{f}_k(n) - \hat{f}(n)|dx$  converges to 0. Therefore,  $f_k \hat{\star} g_k = \hat{f}_k \star \hat{g}_k$

(iv) Let  $f \in C^{k+1}(\mathbb{T})$ , compute  $\forall -\pi \leq x \neq y \leq \pi, \frac{f \star g(y) - f \star g(x)}{y-x} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{f \star g(y-t) - f \star g(x-t)}{y-x} g(t)dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f'(e-t)g(t)dt = f' \star g$  by mean value theorem.  $\square$

## 2.9 Oct. 2, 2019

**Definition 2.9.1.** A family  $\{k_n\}$  is called an approximate identity or a summability kernel in  $\mathbb{T}$  if

1.  $\forall n, \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k_n(x)dx = 1$
2.  $\exists c > 0, \forall n, \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |k_n(x)|dx \leq C$
3.  $\forall \delta > 0, \int_{\delta \leq |x| \leq \pi} |k_n(x)|dx \rightarrow 0$

**Theorem 2.9.2.**  $\{k_n\}$  is summability on  $\mathbb{T}$ ,  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $\mathbb{T}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k \star f(x) = f(x)$  at each point of continuity of  $f$ . Furthermore, if  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{T}$ , then the limit is uniform.

**Example 2.9.3.** 1. Dirichlet kernel: limited on standard partial sums. Is not a good summability kernel.

2. Fejer kernel: based on "Cesaro means". Good summability kernel.

3. Poisson kernel: based on "Abel means". Good summability kernel.

### 2.9.1 Dirichlet Kernel

**Example 2.9.4.** A nonexample: the Dirichlet: Convolution with the Fourier Series, consider the standard partial sum of the Fourier Series of  $f$ :  $s_N f(x) = \sum_{|n| \leq N} \hat{f}(n)e^{inx} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{|x| \leq N} e^{in(x-y)} f(y)dy = D_N \star f(x)$

**Definition 2.9.5.**  $D_n(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq n} e^{ikx}$  is called the Dirichlet kernel.

**Lemma 2.9.6.** 1.  $\forall N, \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_N(x)dx = 1$

2.  $\forall N, D_N(x) = \frac{\sin((N+1/2)x)}{\sin x/2}$

3.  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_N(x)|dx$  goes to  $\infty$



## 2.10 Oct. 4, 2019

*Proof.* 1.  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_N(x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{|k| \leq n} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{inx} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} 2\pi = 1.$

2. Expand:  $D_N(x) = e^{inx} + e^{i(n-1)x} + \dots + e^{ix} + 1 + e^{-ix} + \dots + e^{-inx}.$  Compute  $e^{xi/2} D_N(x) - e^{-xi/2} D_N(x) = e^{i(N+1/2)x} - e^{-i(N+1/2)x}.$  And multiply both sides by  $1/2i$  to get 2.

3.  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_N(x)| dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\sin((N+1/2)x)}{\sin x/2} \right| dx = 2 \int_0^{\pi} \left| \frac{\sin((N+1/2)x)}{\sin x/2} \right| dx \geq 4 \int_0^{\pi} \left| \frac{\sin((N+1/2)x)}{x} \right| dx = 4 \int_0^{N\pi+\pi/2} \left| \frac{\sin(x)}{x} \right| dx \geq 4 \int_0^{N\pi} \left| \frac{\sin(x)}{x} \right| dx = 4 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{(k-1)\pi}^{k\pi} |\sin x| dx = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^{\pi} |\sin x| dx = \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$  which goes to infinity.  $\square$

**Remark 2.10.1.** In fact, the above proof shows  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_N(x)| dx \leq \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \geq \frac{8}{\pi} \int_1^{n+1} \frac{1}{k} dk = \frac{8}{\pi} \log(n+1) \geq \frac{8}{\pi} \log n.$  In fact, a more careful estimate gives:  $L_N = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_N(x)| dx = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \log(n) + O(1).$  Where  $L_N$  is called the *Lebesgue constant* or the *Lebesgue number*

**Remark 2.10.2.** The Dirichlet fails to be a summability kernel on  $\mathbb{T}$  because of 3.

### 2.10.1 Fejer/Cesaro kernel

**Definition 2.10.3.** We say that a series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$  is *Cesaro summable to  $\sigma$*  if  $\sigma_N = \frac{s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_{N-1}}{N} \rightarrow \sigma,$  where  $s_n$  is the  $n$ -th partial sum of  $\sum_n c_n$  and  $\sigma_n$  is called the  $n$ -th Cesaro means of  $\sum_n c_n$

**Lemma 2.10.4.** *If  $\sum_n c_n$  converges to  $\sigma,$  then  $\sum_n c_n$  is Cesaro summable to  $\sigma,$  but the converse fails in general.*

*Proof.* First, assume  $\sigma = 0,$  let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and since  $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \rightarrow 0,$  pick  $N_1 > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq N_1, |s_n| \leq \varepsilon/2.$  Pick  $N_2 > N_1$  such that  $\forall n \geq N_2, \frac{|s_0| + |s_1| + \dots + |s_{N-1}|}{n} \leq \varepsilon/2.$  Then  $\forall n \geq N_2, |\sigma_n| = \left| \frac{s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_{n-1}}{n} \right| \leq \frac{|s_0| + |s_1| + \dots + |s_{N-1}|}{n} + \frac{|s_{N-1}| + \dots + |s_{n-1}|}{n} \leq \varepsilon$

**Remark 2.10.5.** In fact, for any sequence  $\{a_n\},$  the argument above shows if  $a_n \rightarrow 0,$  then  $\frac{a_0 + \dots + a_{n-1}}{n} \rightarrow 0$

Next, assume  $\sigma \neq 0,$  and consider the sequence  $a_n = s_n - \sigma,$  since  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma,$  implies  $a_n \rightarrow 0,$  then by the remark above,  $\frac{a_0 + \dots + a_{n-1}}{n} = \sigma_n - \sigma \rightarrow 0.$

Finally, for the converse consider the following series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n$   $\square$

## 2.11 Oct. 9, 2019

**Definition 2.11.1.**  $\sigma_N f(x) = \frac{s_0 f(x) + \dots + s_{N-1} f(x)}{N} = F_N \star f(x),$  for  $-\pi \leq x \leq \pi.$  For  $f$   $2\pi$  periodic, Riemann integrable and  $s_k f(x)$  is the  $k$  the partial sum of the Fourier Series of  $f.$  Where  $F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} D_k(x)$  is the Fejer kernel on  $\mathbb{T}.$

**Lemma 2.11.2.**  $F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sin^2 Nx/2}{\sin^2 x/2}$  and  $F_N$  is a summability kernel on  $\mathbb{T}$

*Proof.*  $F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sin((N+1/2)x) \sin x/2}{\sin x/2} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1/2}{\sin^2 x/2} (1 - \cos x + \cos x - \dots + \cos(N-1)x - \cos Nx)$   
 where we get our result.

$$\forall n, \frac{1}{2\pi N} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_N(x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum D_N(x) dx = \frac{1}{N} \sum \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_N(x) dx = 1$$

The next follows at once from (1)

The third evaluates to be less than or equal to  $\frac{1}{c_\delta} \frac{1}{N} 2\pi \rightarrow 0$  □

**Theorem 2.11.3** (Fejer). *If  $f$  is  $2\pi$  periodic and Riemann integrable. Then  $\frac{1}{2\pi} F_N \star f(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  at each point of continuity of  $f$ : in particular, if  $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$  then the Fourier Series of  $f$  is uniformly Cesaro-summable to  $f$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

*Proof.* Follows at once from lemma and abstract kernel theorem. □

**Corollary 2.11.4** (Uniqueness of Fourier Series).  *$f$  is  $2\pi$  periodic and Riemann integrable and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \hat{f}(n) = 0$ . Then  $f = 0$  at each point of continuity of  $f$ .*

**Remark 2.11.5.** Fejer doesn't say that a continuous  $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$  can be recovered from its Fourier series using the standard partial-sum summation. In fact, this is false, we will show it later.

**Corollary 2.11.6** (Weierstrass Approximation Theorem 1). *Any continuous function on  $\mathbb{T}$  can be uniformly approximated by trigonometric polynomials. I.e.  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall f \in C(\mathbb{T}), \exists t_\varepsilon$ , a trigonometric polynomial such that  $|f(x) - t_\varepsilon(x)| < \varepsilon$*

*Proof.* Follows at once from Fejer, because Cesaro means are trigonometric polynomials. □

## 2.12 Oct. 11, 2019

**Corollary 2.12.1** (Weierstrass Approximation Theorem ii). *Any continuous function on a closed bounded interval  $[a, b]$  can be uniformly approximated by polynomial.  $\forall f \in C(\mathbb{T}), \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists p_\varepsilon$  a polynomial,  $|f(x) - p_\varepsilon(x)| < \varepsilon, \forall x \in [a, b]$ .*

*Proof.* Reduce to Weierstrass 1. Observe that approximating  $f(x)$  by  $p(x)$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$  is equivalent to approximating  $f(x+a)$  by  $p(x+a)$  uniformly on  $[0, b-a]$ , is equivalent to approximating  $f(\frac{b-a}{2\pi}(x+a))$  by  $p(\frac{b-a}{2\pi}(x+a))$  uniformly on  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Next, note that it suffices to approximate  $g(x) = f(\frac{b-a}{2\pi}(x+a)) - \frac{x}{2\pi}(f(b) - f(a))$  by polynomials uniformly on  $[0, 2\pi]$ , note, furthermore, that  $g$  is continuous on  $[0, 2\pi]$  and  $g(0) = f(a) = g(2\pi)$ , then  $g \in C(\mathbb{T})$ . Apply Weierstrass 1 to approximate  $g$  uniformly by trig. polys. And finally, observe that for each  $k$ , we can approximate  $e^{ikx} = \cos kx + i \sin kx$  uniformly by  $[0, 2\pi]$  by Taylor polynomials. □

**Remark 2.12.2.** 1. An alternative proof of Weierstrass ii is as follows: consider the functions  $k_n(x) = c_n(1-x^2)^n, -1 \leq x \leq 1$ .  $c_n$  are chosen so that  $\int_{-1}^1 k_n(x) dx = 1$ . Check that  $\{k_n\}$  is a summability kernel on  $[-1, 1]$ . Apply the abstract kernel theorem.

2. Both Weierstrass 1 and Weierstrass 2 can be viewed as density results (compare metric spaces later) similarly to the situation of the rationals as a "dense" subset of the set of all the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Another consequence of Fejer and Fourier Series in:

## 2.13 Oct. 14

(This part of the notes is taken by Yuxin Lin)

**Theorem 2.13.1** (Weierstrass). *There exists a continuous but no-where differentiable function*

Proof: consider the function

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha n} e^{i2^n x}$$

$f \in C^\alpha \in C$

**Lemma 2.13.2.** *Let  $g$  be a  $2\pi$  periodic continuous function with*

$$\hat{g}(k) = \begin{cases} a_n, & \text{if } k = \pm 2^n \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.13.1)$$

and  $g$  is differentiable at some point  $x_0 \in [-\pi, \pi]$ , Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $|a_n| \leq Cn2^{-n}$

Here  $a_n$  is a random number. The point here is that  $\hat{g}(k)$  is 0 for  $k$  not a power of 2

Now assuming the lemma, we want to show that if  $f(x)$  has an  $x_0$  differentiable then we will arrive at a contradiction. Can check that  $f(x)$  has the required property to apply the lemma. Then we have

$$2^{-\alpha n} = |\hat{f}(2^n)| \leq Cn2^{-n}$$

. This is impossible since  $\alpha$  is strictly between 0 and 1.

Now we want to prove the lemma. Without loss of generality can assume that  $x_0 = 0$  We can assume this because

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(x - x_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inx} g(x - x_0) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi - x_0}^{\pi - x_0} e^{-in(x+x_0)} g(x) dx \\ &= e^{-inx_0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inx} g(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the modulus of the Fourier coefficient doesn't change if we move  $g(x)$  to the left or to the right.

We can also assume that  $g(0) = 0$ . The reason is that subtracting a constant doesn't affect Fourier coefficient except for the coefficient at 0 (Can check this). So if  $n \neq 0$  then  $g - \hat{g}(0)(n) = \hat{g}(n)$ . At 0 the assumption doesn't put any restriction on the Fourier coefficient at 0. So can make this reduction.

The next step is to show that there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $|g(x)| \leq C|x|$  Since  $g$  is differentiable at 0 and  $g(0) = 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x)}{x}$$

exists. Thus  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $|x| \leq \delta$

$$\left| \frac{g(x)}{x} \right| \leq \left| \frac{g(x)}{x} - g'(0) \right| + |g'(0)| \leq \epsilon + |g'(0)| = C_1$$

On the other hand, since  $g$  is continuous on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , for any  $x$  such that  $0 < \delta < |x| \leq \pi$ ,  $|g(x)| \leq \|g\|_{sup} \leq \delta^{-1} \|g\|_{sup} |x| = C_2|x|$  Now pick  $C = \max\{C_1, C_2\}$ , we have  $|g(x)| \leq C|x|$ .

So we have

$$\frac{|g(x)|}{\sin^2 \frac{x}{2}} \leq \frac{C|x|}{\frac{4}{\pi^2} \left| \frac{x}{2} \right|^2} = C\pi^2 \frac{1}{|x|}$$

for all  $-\pi \leq x \leq \pi$

## 2.14 Oct. 16, 2019

Recall Fejer kernel:  $\frac{1}{n} \frac{\sin^2 Nx/2}{\sin^2 x/2} = F_N(x) = D_0(x) + \dots + D_{N-1}(x)/N$ . By assumption on  $g(x)$ , we have

**Proposition 2.14.1.**

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{\pm i2^n x} F_{2^n-1}(x) g(x) dx = a_n^{\pm}$$

*Proof.* Compute  $e^{i2^n x} F_{2^n-1} = e^{i2^n x} \frac{1}{N} (1 + (e^{-ix} + 1 + e^{ix}) + \dots + (e^{-i(2^{n-1}-1)x} + 1 + \dots + e^{i(2^{n-1}-1)x}))$ , then integrate to get  $\hat{g}(-2^n) = a_n^-$ . Similarly one can prove the positive sign case.  $\square$

Finally, we are ready to prove lemma. Compute  $|a_n^+| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i2^n x} F_{2^n-1}(x) g(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F_{2^n-1}(x)| |g(x)| dx$  Then by our derived formula, this is  $\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} C\pi^2 2^{-(n-1)} 2 \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin^2 2^{n-1} x/2}{x} dx$ , we integrate from 0 to  $\pi/2^{n-1}$  and from that to  $\pi$ . By using  $|\sin x| \leq |x|$  on the first equation and  $|\sin x| \leq 1$  on the second, we get  $\leq C\pi^3 2^{-n}/4 + 2C\pi 2^{-n} \log 2^{n-1} \cong Cn 2^{-n}$ .

**Remark 2.14.2.** A Fourier series that "skips" terms like  $\sum a_n e^{i2^n x}$  is called a lacunary Fourier Series.

With some extra work, one can show that  $\exists$  functions that are of Holder class  $C^\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) that are nowhere differentiable.

The original construction of a nowhere differentiable function by Bolzano was roughly as follows: Construct a sequence of continuous functions with  $|x|$ -type singularities that pile up as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . One draw back is that there is no clearly reasonable explicit function for the limit function. Brown observed that motion of tiny particles in a cup of tea turn out paths that similarly have no calculatable velocities.

## 2.15 Oct. 18, 2019

### 2.15.1 Abel means/Poisson kernel

**Definition 2.15.1.** A series  $\sum_{n>0} c_n$  is *Abel summable to  $s$*  if the series  $\alpha_r = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n c_n$  converges  $\forall 0 < r < 1$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \alpha_r = s$ .  $\alpha_r$  is called the *Abel means* of  $\sum_n c_n$

**Lemma 2.15.2.** If  $\sum_n c_n$  is Cesaro summable to  $s$ , then  $\sum_m c_m$  is Abel summable to  $s$  but the converse is not true in general.

**Remark 2.15.3.** A series converges then the series is Cesaro summable, then the series is Abel summable, but not the other way around

Back to Fourier Series, let  $f$  be  $2\pi$ -periodic and Riemann integrable, then consider the series  $\alpha_r f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r^{|n|} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx}$ , where  $0 < r < 1$  and  $-\pi \leq x \leq \pi$ . Observe that  $\forall -\pi \leq x \leq \pi, |\alpha_r f(x)| \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r^{|n|} |\hat{f}(n)| \leq C \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r^{|n|} < \infty$ . Then, we have  $\alpha_r f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r^{|n|} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-iny} f(y) dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} P_r \star f(x)$

**Definition 2.15.4.**  $P_r(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r^{|n|} e^{inx}$  is the *Poisson kernel* in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

**Lemma 2.15.5.**  $P_r(x) = \frac{1-r^2}{1-2r \cos x + r^2}$ , and  $P_r$  is a summability kernel.

## 2.16 Oct. 28, 2019

### 2.16.1 Quick preview

Problems: Find the solution of the initial value problem  $\frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u(t,x)}{\partial x^2}$  and  $u(0,x) = f(x)$

Solution: based on the method of separation of variables. We look for solution of the form  $u(t,x) = v(t)w(x)$ . Substituting gives  $v'(t)/v(t) = w''(x)/w(x) = \lambda$  constant independent of both  $t, x$ . So that we obtain a system of 2 ODE's, namely  $v' - \lambda v = 0$  and  $w'' - \lambda w = 0$ . Solution for the second equation: an educated guess, look for solution of the form  $w(x) = e^{sx}, s \in \mathbb{C}$ . We substitute it, so we get  $(s^2 - \lambda)e^{sx} = 0$ . Since  $\lambda = -4\pi^2 n^2$ ,  $w(x) = e^{2\pi inx}$ . Similarly  $v(t) = e^{-4\pi^2 n^2 t}$ . By the superposition principle, note that our

equation is linear.  $u(t, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{-4\pi^2 n^2 t} e^{2\pi i n x}$ , with  $f(x) = u(0, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi i n x}$ , where  $c_n = \hat{f}(n) = 1/l \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i n y} f(y) dy$

Note that the series  $u(t, x)$  converges absolutely, provided that the series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi i n x}$  converges. Therefore, we have

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n) e^{-4\pi^2 n^2 t} e^{2\pi i n x} = \int_0^1 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-4\pi^2 n^2 t} e^{2\pi i n (x-y)} f(y) dy = H_t \star f(x)$$

.

**Definition 2.16.1.**  $H_t(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-4\pi^2 n^2 t} e^{2\pi i n x}$  is called the *heat kernel on  $\mathbb{T}$* .

**Remark 2.16.2.** It can be shown that  $H_t$  is a summability kernel on  $\mathbb{T}$ . In fact, it is related to Gauss-Weierstrass kernel in the high dimensional case.

## Chapter 3

# Metric Space

### 3.1 Oct. 30, 2019

**Definition 3.1.1.** A *metric* on a set  $X$  is a function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (sometimes called the distance function) satisfying:

1.  $d(x, y) \geq 0$  with  $d(x, y) = 0$  iff  $x = y$
2.  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
3.  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \forall x, y, z \in X$  (The triangle inequality).

If 1 is replaced by another condition  $d(x, y) \geq 0$  and  $d(x, x) = 0, \forall x, y \in X$  then  $d$  satisfying the three conditions is called a *pseudo-metric* on  $X$ . The pair  $(X, d)$  is called a *(pseudo) metric space*.

**Example 3.1.2.** The Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n$  with the following metric

$$d(x, y) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - y_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

.

**Remark 3.1.3.** More generally, we can introduce:

$$\forall 1 \leq p < \infty, d_p(x, y) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - y_k|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

$$p = \infty, d_\infty(x, y) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |x_k - y_k|$$

**Definition 3.1.4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $x \in X$ . An *open ball* at  $x$  of radius  $r > 0$  is the set  $B(x, r) = \{y \in X, d(y, x) < r\}$

**Example 3.1.5** (The discrete metric space). Let  $X$  be a nonempty set, and  $d(x, y) = 1$  if  $x \neq y$  or  $0$  if  $x = y$ .

**Example 3.1.6** (The space of bounded functions). Let  $S$  be a nonempty set and let  $B(S) = \{f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \exists C > 0, |f(x)| \leq C, \forall x \in S\}$  with  $d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) = \sum_{x \in S} |f(x) - g(x)|$

**Example 3.1.7.** The space of Riemann integrable function on  $[a, b]$   $R([a, b]) = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ if Riemann integrable on } [a, b]\}$  with  $d_{L^1}(f, g) = \int_a^b |f(x) - g(x)| dx$  called the  $L^1$  metric.

### 3.2 Nov. 1, 2019

**Example 3.2.1** (The induced metric). If  $(X, d)$  is a metric space and  $Y \subset X$  then  $d_Y = d|_{Y \times Y}$  is a metric on  $Y$  so that  $(Y, d_Y)$  is a metric space.

**Example 3.2.2** (The product metric). If  $(X, d_x), (Y, d_y)$  be two metric spaces. Then  $X \times Y$  is also a metric space.

**Definition 3.2.3.**  $(X, d)$  is a metric space. A set  $E \subset X$  is open if  $\forall x \in E, \exists r > 0$  such that  $B(x, r) \subset E$ . A set  $F \subset X$  is closed if  $F^c$  is open. A set  $N \subset X$  is a neighborhood of a point  $x \in X$  if  $N$  contains an open set in  $X$  containing  $x$ . A set  $N \subset X$  is a neighborhood of a set  $E \subset X$  if  $N$  is a neighborhood of all point in  $E$ .

**Remark 3.2.4.** In any metric space  $X$ , the open ball  $B(x, r)$  with  $x \in X$  and  $r > 0$  is an open set. In any metric space  $X$  and  $\emptyset$  is both open and closed.

**Proposition 3.2.5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Arbitrary unions of open sets are open, finite intersection of open sets are open. Arbitrary intersection of closed sets are closed, finite union of closed sets are closed.

**Definition 3.2.6.**  $(X, d)$  metric space and  $E \subset X$ . The Interior of  $E$  is the set  $E^0 = \text{int}E = \cup_{U \in \mathcal{E}} U$ ,  $U$  is open. The Closure of  $E$  is the set  $\bar{E} = \text{cl}E = \cap_{E \subset F} F$ ,  $F$  is closed.

**Remark 3.2.7.** If  $E = B(x, r)$  with  $x \in X$  and  $r > 0$  then  $E^0 = E$  and  $\bar{E}$  is the closed ball at  $x$  with radius  $r$ .

### 3.3 Nov. 4, 2019

$(X, d)$  is a metric space.

**Definition 3.3.1.** A point  $x$  is a *limit point* of a set  $E \subset X$  if every neighborhood of  $x$  contains a point  $y \in E$  and  $x \neq y$ . If  $x \in E$  is not a limit point of  $E$ , then  $x$  is an isolated point of  $E$ .

**Definition 3.3.2.** A set  $E \subset X$  is *perfect* if  $E$  is closed and each of its points is a limit point of  $E$ . A set  $E$  is *dense in  $X$*  if  $\bar{E} = X$ . A set  $E \subset X$  is *Nowhere dense in  $X$*  if  $(\bar{E})^0 = \emptyset$



**Definition 3.3.3.** A metric space  $X$  is separable if it contains a countable dense subset.

**Example 3.3.4.** Euclidean spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n$  are separable with  $D = \mathbb{Q}^n$  or  $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$  its countable dense subset.

**Example 3.3.5.** The space  $l^2$  of square summable sequences with  $d_{l^2}$  metric is separable with  $D = \{y = \{y_k\}_k | y_k \in \mathbb{Q}, y_{N+1} = y_{N+2} = \dots = 0 \text{ for some } N > 0\}$ .

**Example 3.3.6.** A discrete metric space  $(X, d)$  is separable if  $X$  is countable.

**Example 3.3.7.** Let  $S$  be a nonempty set. The space of bounded functions in  $S, B(S)$  with the sup metric, is separable if  $S$  is finite.

**Example 3.3.8.**  $C([a, b])$  the space of continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  with the sup metric. It is separable with  $D =$  all polynomials on  $[a, b]$  with rational coefficients. By Weierstrass Approximation theorem.

## 3.4 Nov. 6, 2019

**Example 3.4.1** (The Cantor Set). Consider the middle "thirds" subsets of  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $C_0 = [0, 1], C_1 = [0, 1/3] \cup [2/3, 1]$  etc.

**Proposition 3.4.2.**  $C$  is closed, nowhere dense, perfect and of measure zero.

### 3.4.1 Topological spaces

**Definition 3.4.3.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. A *topology* on  $X$  is a family  $\tau$  of subsets such that  $\emptyset, X \in \tau, \tau$  is closed under infinite unions and finite intersections. The pair  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space.

**Example 3.4.4.**  $X$  is a nonempty set,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X\}$  trivial topology.  $\tau = P(X) = 2^X$  can be seen as the discrete topology.

**Example 3.4.5.**  $X$  infinite set.  $\tau = \{U \subset X, U = \emptyset, U^c \text{ is finite}\}$ . Cofinite topology

**Example 3.4.6.**  $(X, d)$  metric space.  $\tau = \{\text{all open subset of } X \text{ w.r.t } d\}$ . Metric topology

**Example 3.4.7.**  $(X, \tau)$  topological space and  $Y \subset X$ , then  $(Y, \tau|_Y)$  is a topological space called the induced topology.

**Definition 3.4.8.** Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are two topologies in  $X$ . Then we say that  $\tau_1$  is stronger (finer) than  $\tau_2$  if  $\tau_2 \subset \tau_1$ .

**Definition 3.4.9.**  $(X, \tau_1), (X, \tau_2)$  be two metric spaces, then a function  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is *continuous* at  $x \in X_1$  if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall y \in X_1, d_1(y, x) < \delta$  then  $d_2(f(y), f(x)) < \varepsilon$ . In other words,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, B(x, \delta) \subset f^{-1}(B(f(x), \varepsilon))$ .

**Proposition 3.4.10.**  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is continuous  $\iff \forall U \subset X_2, f^{-1}(U) \subset X$ .

### 3.5 Nov. 8, 2019

**Definition 3.5.1.**  $(X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2)$  metric spaces. A function  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is an isometry if  $\forall x, y \in X_1, d_1(x, y) = d_2(f(x), f(y))$ .  $(X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2)$  are *isometric* if there is an isometry onto between them.

**Remark 3.5.2.** An isometry is always "into" but not necessarily "onto". Eg:  $x \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Proposition 3.5.3** (Elementary properties: Separation axioms).  $(X, d)$  metric space,

1. Every one point subset of  $X$  is closed. ( $T_1$  axiom)
2.  $\forall x \neq y, \exists U, V \subset X, x \in U, y \in V$  with  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  ( $T_2$  axiom or Hausdorff)
3.  $\forall F \subset X$  closed,  $\forall x \in F, \exists U, V \subset X$  with  $U \cap V = \emptyset, F \subset U, x \in V$ . ( $T_3$  axiom, regular axiom)
4.  $\forall E, F \subset X$  closed with  $E \cap F = \emptyset, \exists U, V \subset X, U \cap V = \emptyset$  such that  $E \subset U, F \subset V$  ( $T_4$  property, normal property).
5.  $\forall E, F \subset X$  with  $E \cap F = \emptyset, \exists f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and such that  $f|_E = 0$  and  $f|_F = 1$  (Urysohn's Lemma)

**Definition 3.5.4.**  $(X, d)$  metric space, a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  is *Cauchy* if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N > 0, \forall n, m \geq N, d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$

**Definition 3.5.5.**  $E \subset X$  is *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in  $E$  converges to a limit in  $E$ .

**Proposition 3.5.6.** A closed subset of a complete metric space is complete. A complete subset of any metric space is closed.

### 3.6 Nov. 11, 2019

**Definition 3.6.1.**  $(X, d)$  is a metric space,  $E \subset X$

1.  $\text{diam}(E) = \sup_{x, y \in E} d(x, y)$  is called the diameter of  $E$
2.  $E \subset X$  is bounded if  $\text{diam}(E) < \infty$
3.  $E \subset X$  is totally bounded if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, E$  can be covered by finitely many balls of radius  $\varepsilon$

**Remark 3.6.2.** In any metric space  $\text{int}(E)$  is totally bounded, then so is  $\bar{E}$ . In  $\mathbb{R}$  Euclidean metric,  $E$  is totally bounded iff  $E$  is bounded.

**Theorem 3.6.3.**  $(X, d)$  metric space,  $E \subset X$  the following are equivalent:

1.  $E$  is complete and totally bounded
2. Every sequence in  $E$  has a converging subsequence in  $E$
3. Every covering of  $E$  by open sets has a finite subcovering.

**Definition 3.6.4.** A set  $E \subset X$  satisfying any of the above condition is said to be *compact*.

### 3.7 Nov. 13, 2019

**Remark 3.7.1.** Any compact subset of a metric space is closed and bounded. The converse is false in general but true in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , in fact, and closed subset of a compact set is compact (in any metric space)

**Definition 3.7.2.**  $X$  nonempty set. Two metrics  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  on  $X$  are equivalent if  $\exists c_1, c_2 > 0$   $\forall x, y \in X, c_1 d_1(x, y) \leq d_2(x, y) \leq c_2 d_1(x, y)$

**Remark 3.7.3.** Note that equivalent metrics have the same open sets (any sequences etc.)

**Proposition 3.7.4.** A metric space  $X$  is compact iff every collection of closed subsets of  $X$  with the finite intersection property has a non-empty intersection.

**Definition 3.7.5.**  $(X, d)$  is a metric space, a collection  $\{F_\alpha\}$  of subsets of  $X$  has the finite intersection property if every intersection of finitely many of its members is non-empty

**Corollary 3.7.6.** If  $\{K_m\}$  are non-empty compact subsets of a metric space  $X$  with  $K_n \supset K_{n+1}$ , then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \neq \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 3.7.7.** The Cantor set  $C$  is compact, and uncountable.

*Proof.*  $C = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k$ . Closed and bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$  and thus is compact. Claim: a non-empty perfect subset of a complete metric space is necessarily uncountable. Pf: Assume for simplicity that the metric space is compact. Let  $P$  be a perfect and countable. So we can enumerate  $P = \{x_1, \dots\}$ . Construct a sequence of nested closed set as follows: let  $B_1 = \{ \text{open ball at } x_1 \}$  so that  $B_1 \cap P \neq \emptyset$ , and  $K_1 = \bar{B}_1 \cap P$ . Since  $P$  is perfect (so that  $x_1 = \lim_{n \neq 1} x_n, x_n \in P$ ). Let  $B_2 = \{ \text{open ball at } x_{n \neq 1} \}$  so that  $B_2 \cap P \neq \emptyset$ , with  $\bar{B}_2 \subset B_1$ , and  $x_1 \notin \bar{B}_2$ . Let  $K_2 = \bar{B}_2 \cap P$ . Continue to get  $K_n = \bar{B}_n \cap P$  with  $\bar{B}_n \subset B_{n-1}$  and  $x_{n-1} \notin \bar{B}_n$ . Then  $K_n$  are nonempty, compact and nested, and  $\bigcap K_n = \emptyset$ . Thus we have a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3.8 Nov. 18, 2019

**Definition 3.8.1.**  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism if  $f$  is continuous, one-to-one and onto and  $f^{-1}$  is continuous.

**Proposition 3.8.2.**  $X, Y$  are metric spaces,  $X$  is compact,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous. Then

1.  $f(X) \subset Y$
2.  $f$  is uniformly continuous
3. if  $Y = \mathbb{R}$  then  $f$  attains its maximal or minimum values
4. If  $f$  is a bijection, then it is a homeomorphism.

**Theorem 3.8.3** (Baire Category Theorem).  $(X, d)$  complete metric space,

1. if  $\{U_n\}$  are open and dense in  $X$ , then  $\bigcap_n U_n$  is also dense in  $X$
2.  $X$  is not a countable union of nowhere dense sets

**Definition 3.8.4.**  $(X, d)$  is a metric space,  $E \subset X$ , then  $E$  is called a set of first Baire category if  $E$  is a countable union of nowhere dense sets. Otherwise  $E$  is of the second Baire Category.

**Remark 3.8.5.** 1. Baire category theorem implies that every complete metric space is of second Baire category

2. Baire theorem can be used as a tool to prove existence results.

### 3.9 Nov. 20, 2019

**Definition 3.9.1.** A function  $f$  is a contraction if it is Lipschitz with constant  $0 < c < 1$ . A fixed point in  $X$  is a point such that  $f(x) = x$

**Theorem 3.9.2** (Banach's Contraction Principle).  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space,  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction, then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$

*Proof.* Pick any  $x_0 \in X$  and define  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$ . Observe that  $\forall n \geq 1$   $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(f(x_n), f(x_{n-1})) \leq cd(x_n, x_{n-1}) \leq c^n d(x_1, x_0)$ . Furthermore, we have  $\forall n > m$ ,  $d(x_n, x_m) \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} d(x_{k+1}, x_k) \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} c^k d(x_1, x_0) \leq c^m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c^k d(x_1, x_0) = \frac{c^m}{1-c} d(x_1, x_0) \rightarrow 0$ . So that  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $X$ —complete and thus  $x_n \rightarrow x$  for some  $x \in X$ . Clearly,  $x$  is a fixed point of  $f$ , if we consider the limit.

We now consider uniqueness: Suppose  $f$  has two distinct fixed points.  $x = f(x), y = f(y)$ , then  $d(x, y) = d(f(x), f(y)) \leq cd(x, y) < d(x, y)$ . A contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.3.** Banach's contraction Principle is one of the main tools in proving existence and uniqueness theorems for ODE's and PDE's.

## Chapter 4

# Elements of Functional Analysis

### 4.1 Nov. 20, 2019

**Definition 4.1.1.** Let  $X$  be a linear space over  $k = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . A *norm* on  $X$  is a function  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying

1.  $\forall x \in X, \|x\| \geq 0$  with  $x = 0$  iff  $x = 0$ .
2.  $\forall x \in X, \forall \alpha \in k, \|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$
3.  $\forall x, y, \|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$

If (1) is replaced with  $\forall x \in X, \|x\| \geq 0$ , then  $\|\cdot\|$  is called a psuedo-norm on  $X$ . The pair  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a (psuedo-)normed space.

**Remark 4.1.2.** Any normed space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a metric space with  $\forall x, y \in X, d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$

**Definition 4.1.3.** A complete normed space is called a *Banach space*.

### 4.2 Nov. 22, 2019

**Example 4.2.1** (Examples of Banach Space). 1.  $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n$  with Euclidean norms are Banach spaces

2.  $X$  a nonempty set,  $B(X)$  =the space of bounded functions on  $X$  with image in  $K : \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , with the  $p$  norm,  $\|f\|_p = \sup_{s \in X} |f(s)|$
3.  $(X, d)$ -metric space,  $C_b(X) = B(X) \cap C(X)$  (bounded functions and continuous functions on  $X$ ), a bounded conitnuous functions on  $X$ . Equip with the sup norm.
4.  $C^k([a, b])$  =the space of  $C^k$  functions on  $[a, b]$ , with  $\|f\|_{C^k} = \sum_{j=0}^k \|f^{(j)}\|_{\text{sup}}$  is Banach.

5.  $C^\alpha([a, b])$  The space of Holder- $\alpha$  continuous function on  $[a, b]$ , equipped with the (Holder) norm:  $\|f\|_\alpha = \|f\|_{\text{sup}} + \sup_{x \neq y, x, y \in [a, b]} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}$ . This is also Banach. This is more technical.
6.  $l^2$ : The space of square summable sequences of real and complex numbers, with the norm  $\|x\|_{l^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^2}$

**Example 4.2.2. An important example:** The space of bounded linear operators. Let  $X, Y$  be normed space with  $k = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ :

**Definition 4.2.3.** A linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is a map satisfying  $\forall x \in k, \forall x, y \in X, T(ax + y) = aT(x) + T(y)$ .  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is *bounded* if  $\exists c > 0, \forall x \in X, \|T(x)\| \leq c\|x\|$ . The *operator norm of  $T : X \rightarrow Y$* , is the number  $\|T\| = \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1} \|Tx\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\| = \inf\{C > 0 : \|Tx\| \leq C\|x\|, \forall x \in X\}$

**Proposition 4.2.4.**  $X, Y$  normed space,  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  linear space, the following are equivalent

1.  $T$  is uniform continuous on  $X$ ,
2.  $T$  is continuous on  $X$ ,
3.  $T$  is continuous at  $x = 0$
4.  $T$  is bounded

*Proof.* 1  $\implies$  2  $\implies$  3 is obvious.

3  $\implies$  4 Pick  $\delta > 0, \forall x \in X, \|x\| < \delta$ , then  $\|Tx\| \leq 1 = \varepsilon$  so that  $\forall x \in X, 1 \geq \|T(\delta \frac{x}{\|x\|})\|$ . Therefore the following is equivalent:  $\forall x \in X, \|Tx\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\|x\|$ .

4  $\implies$  1  $\forall x, y \in X, \|Tx - Ty\| = \|T(x - y)\| \leq C\|x - y\|$ . □

### 4.3 25 Nov. 2019

**Proposition 4.3.1.**  $X$  is normed,  $Y$  is Banach, then  $L(X, Y) = \{T : X \rightarrow Y : T \text{ linear and continuous operator}\}$  with the operator norm  $\|T\| = \inf\{C > 0, \|Tx\| \leq C\|x\|\}$  is Banach.

**Remark 4.3.2.** Let  $X = Y$  be Banach. Note that  $\forall T, S \in L(X)$ , we have  $\forall x \in X, \|ST(x)\| \leq \|S\|\|Tx\| \leq \|S\|\|T\|\|x\|$  so that  $ST \in L(X)$  (note that linearity of  $ST$  is clear)

**Definition 4.3.3.** A Banach space that is also an algebra such that the norm of the product of its elements is at most the product of the norms is called a *Banach algebra*

**Example 4.3.4.**  $(C_b(x), \|\cdot\|_{\text{sup}})$  is a Banach algebra with pointwise multiplication of functions.  $(L(X), \|\cdot\|_{\text{operator}})$  is a Banach algebra with composition of linear operators

**Definition 4.3.5.** let  $X$  be a normed space over  $k$ , the space  $X^* = L(X, k)$  is called the *dual space* of  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.3.6** (Banach-Steinhaus Theorem).  $X$  Banach,  $Y$  is normed.  $\{T_k\} \subset L(X, Y)$ , if  $\sup_{k \in K} \|T_k x\| < \infty, \forall x \in X$ , then  $\sup_{k \in K} \|T_k\| < \infty$

*Proof.*  $\forall n$ , let  $E_n = \{k \in K, \sup_{x \in X} \|T_k x\| \leq n\} = \bigcap_{x \in X} \{k \in K, \|T_k x\| \leq n\}$  which is closed. Thus  $E_n$  is closed in  $K$ .  $\bigcup_n E_n = K$ . By Baire, at least one of  $E_n$  cannot be nowhere dense. Thus,  $\exists n_0 \exists x_0 \in E_{n_0} = \overline{E_{n_0}}, \exists r_0 > 0, B(x_0, r_0) \subset E_{n_0}$ . Note:  $\forall \|x\| \leq \frac{r_0}{2}, x_0 - x \in x_0 + B(0, \frac{r_0}{2}) = B(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2}) \subset E_{n_0}$ . So  $\forall \|x\| \leq \frac{r_0}{2}, \forall k \in K, \|T_k x\| \leq \|T_k(x - x_0)\| + \|T_k x_0\| \leq n_0$ . Then  $\forall \|n\| \leq 1, \forall k \in K, \|T_k x\| \leq 2n_0 \frac{2}{r_0} = \frac{4n_0}{r_0}$ . Then we take the sup.  $\square$

## 4.4 Dec. 2, 2019

**Remark 4.4.1.** The same result holds if we assume  $\sup_{\alpha \in A} \|T_\alpha x\| < \infty, \forall x \in E \subset X$ , where  $E$  is of second Baire Category.

**Corollary 4.4.2.** If  $X, Y$  are Banach,  $\{T_n\} \subset L(X, Y), \forall x \in X, T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(x)$  exists and  $\|T\| \leq \liminf \|T_n\| < \infty$ .

**Example 4.4.3** (Simple examples of non-complete metric spaces). 1.  $\{f \in C([a, b]) : f(x) > 0, \forall a \leq x \leq b\}$  with the sup-metric

2.  $X = B(\bar{0}, 1) - \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with the Euclidean metric.

3.  $X = \{\frac{1}{x} : n = 1, \dots\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  with the euclidean metric.

### 4.4.1 Compactness in spaces of continuous functions

**Lemma 4.4.4** (Riesz).  $X$  is Banach,  $W \subsetneq X$  proper closed subspace. Then  $\exists \{x_n\} \in X$  such that  $\|x_n\| = 1$  and  $d(x_n, W) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X - W$  and pick a minimizing sequence  $\{w_n\} \subset W$  such that  $\|x - w_n\| \rightarrow d(x, W) = \inf_{w \in W} \|x - w\|$ . Let  $x_n = \frac{x - w_n}{\|x - w_n\|}$ . Then  $\|x_n\| = 1, \forall n = 1, \dots$  and furthermore,  $d(x_n, W) = \inf_{w \in W} \|\frac{x - w_n}{\|x - w_n\|} - w\| = \frac{1}{\|x - w_n\|} \inf_{w \in W} \|x - (w_n + \|x - w_n\|w)\|$ . We know that  $w_n + \|x - w_n\|w \in W$ . Thus we have  $\frac{d(x, W)}{\|x - w_n\|}$ . Thus  $d(x_n, W) \rightarrow 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.5.** The closed unit ball in an infinite dimensional Banach space is never compact.

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is infinite dimensional, pick any increasing sequence of subspaces  $W_0 \subsetneq W_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq W_n \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq X$ . Then by Riesz lemma,  $\forall n = 1, 2, \dots$ , pick  $x_n$  with  $\|x_n\| = 1$  and  $d(x_n, W_{n-1}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  such that  $\forall n \neq m, d(x_n, x_m) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore, we have constructed an infinite sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset B_X(\bar{0}, 1)$  without converging subsequences.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.6.** Unlike in finite dimensions, compactness is a rare phenomenon in infinite dimensional spaces. However, there are some useful tools to identify compact sets.

**Definition 4.4.7.**  $(X, d)$  metric space,  $F$  is a family of  $k$ -valued space  $X$ ,  $F$  is *equicontinuous* at  $x \in X$  if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall y \in X, d(x, y) < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon, \forall f \in F$ ,  $F$  is pointwise bounded on  $X$  if  $\forall x \in X, \exists M > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq M, \forall f \in F$ ,  $F$  is *Uniformly equicontinuous in  $X$*  if  $F$  is equicontinuous  $\forall x \in X$  with  $\delta > 0$  independent of  $x$ .  $F$  is *Uniformly bounded on  $X$*  if  $F$  is pointwisely bounded  $\forall x \in X$  with  $M > 0$  independent of  $x$

**Theorem 4.4.8** (Arzela-Ascoli).  $(X, d)$  compact metric space, if  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of  $k$ -valued functions on  $X$  that are equicontinuous  $\forall x \in X$  and pointwisely bounded on  $X$ . Then  $\{f_n\}$  is uniformly equicontinuous on  $X$ ,  $\{f_n\}$  is uniformly bounded on  $X$  and  $\{f_n\}$  has a uniformly converging subsequences.

*Proof.* Only notational changes are need to adapt the proof of Ascoli from (from the beginning of the semester).  $\square$

**Definition 4.4.9.** If  $\bar{E}_{\text{sup}}$  is a compact set, then  $E$  is called *relatively compact*.

**Corollary 4.4.10.** If  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space,  $E \subset C_b(X) = C(x)$ , this is relatively compact iff  $E$  is uniformly bounded and uniformly equicontinuous on  $X$ .

## 4.5 Dec. 4, 2019

Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space. Recall that  $C(X, k)$  is a Banach algebra, under pointwise multiplication of functions.

**Definition 4.5.1.** A set  $A \subset C(X, k)$  is a *subalgebra* if  $A$  is closed under addition, scalar multiplication and pointwise multiplication of function.

**Definition 4.5.2.** An subalgebra  $A \subset C(X, k)$  is said to *separate points in  $X$*  if  $\forall x_1 \neq x_2 \in X, \exists f \in A, f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$

**Remark 4.5.3.** If  $A \subset C(X, k)$  is a subalgebra, then so is  $\bar{A}^{\|\cdot\|_{\text{sup}}}$ . If  $A \subset C(X, k)$  is a subalgebra that is closed under complex conjugation, then so is  $\bar{A}^{\|\cdot\|_{\text{sup}}}$ .

**Theorem 4.5.4** (Stone-Weierstrauss).  $(X, d)$  compact metric space.

1. If  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$  that separates points in  $X$  and contains constant, then  $A$  is dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$
2. If  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $C(X, \mathbb{C})$  that separates points in  $X$ , contains constants and is closed under complex conjugation, then  $A$  is dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{C})$ .



*Proof.* Firstly one can show that if  $f_1, f_n \in \bar{A}$ , then  $\max / \min\{f_1, f_n\} \in \bar{A}$ . Then we prove (1). Let now  $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ . The proof consists of two steps,

**Step I** Claim:  $\forall x \in X, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists g_x \in \bar{A}$  such that  $g_x(x) = f(x)$  and  $g_x(z) > f(z) - \varepsilon, \forall z \in X$ .

*Proof of Claim:* fix  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $A$  separates points in  $X$ , then  $\forall y \neq x, \exists h_y \in \bar{A}, h_y(x) = f(x)$  and  $h_y(y) = f(y)$ . Because, first, pick some  $\tilde{h} \in A$  with  $\tilde{h}(x) \neq \tilde{h}(y)$ . Next, pick  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha + \beta\tilde{h}(x) = f(x), \alpha + \beta\tilde{h}(y) = f(y)$  and now set  $h_y() = \alpha + \beta\tilde{h}() \in \bar{A}$ . If  $y = x$ , then set  $h_2() = f(x) \in \bar{A}$ .

## 4.6 Dec. 6, 2019

Now, we have:  $\forall y \in X, \exists U_y \subset X$  open, such that  $h_y(z) > f(z) - \varepsilon, \forall z \in U_y$ . Cover  $X = \cup U_y$ . By compactness of  $X$ , pick a finite subcover  $U_{y_1}, \dots, U_{y_n}$  and define  $g_x = \max\{h_{y_1}, \dots, h_{y_n}\}$ . Then  $g_x \in \bar{A}$  by preliminary result, and  $g_x(x) = f(x)$  by construction, and  $\forall z \in X = \cup U_y, g_x(z) > f(z) - \varepsilon$

**Step 2:** Using claim, we now also have  $\forall x \in X, \exists V_x \subset X$  open  $g_x(z) > f(z) + \varepsilon, \forall z \in V_x$ . One can prove this as we prove the previous step. So that we have shown the following  $\forall f \in C(X, \mathbb{R}), \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists h \in \bar{A}, \|h - f\|_{\text{sup}} < \varepsilon$ .

Consider (2), let  $A_{\mathbb{R}} = A$  be the functions in  $A$  that have values in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Clearly  $A_{\mathbb{R}}$  is closed under addition and multiplication by scalars in  $\mathbb{R}$ , pointwise products and contains constants. So that  $A_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a subalgebra of  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$  itself contains  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $f = u + iv$ , then  $\bar{f} \in A$  provided that  $f \in A$ . By assumption,  $A$  is closed under conjugation. Therefore,  $u = \frac{1}{2}(f + \bar{f}), v = \frac{1}{2}(f - \bar{f}) \in A$ . Since  $A$  separate points in  $X$ .  $\forall x, y \in X, \exists f = u + iv \in A$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Then we use part (1).  $\square$

## 4.7 Dec. 9, 2019

### 4.7.1 Hilbert Spaces

**Definition 4.7.1.** Let  $V$  be a metric space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . An inner product on  $V$  is a function  $(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

1.  $\alpha x + y, z) = \alpha(x, z) + (y, z)$
2.  $(x, y) = \overline{(y, x)}$

The pair  $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$  is called an inner-product (pre-Hilbert) space.

**Remark 4.7.2.** The number  $\|x\| = \sqrt{(x, x)}$  defines a norm on  $V$

**Definition 4.7.3.** If the topology defined by  $\|\cdot\|$  above is complete, then  $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$  is called a Hilbert space.

**Example 4.7.4.**  $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n$  with the standard euclidean inner product  $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{y}_i$  are Hilbert spaces

**Definition 4.7.5.** Let  $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$  be an inner product space. We say that  $x, y \in V$  are orthogonal if  $(x, y) = 0$ . We notate it as  $x \perp y$ . We say that  $\{x_k\} \subset V$  is orthogonal if  $x_k \perp x_j \forall k \neq j$ . We say that  $\{x_k\} \subset V$  is orthonormal if in addition  $\forall k, \|x_k\| = 1$ .

**Proposition 4.7.6.** Let  $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$  be a inner-product space.

1.  $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in V, x_k \perp x_j, \forall k \neq j$ , then  $\|\sum x_k\|^2 = \sum \|x_k\|^2$  (Pythagoras)
2.  $\forall x, y \in V, |(x, y)| \leq \|x\|\|y\|$  (Schwarz, aka, Cauchy Schwarz)
3.  $\forall x, y \in V, \|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$  (Triangle inequality).
4.  $\forall x, y \in V, \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$  (Parallelogram law)

## 4.8 Dec. 11, 2019

**Example 4.8.1.**  $l^2(\mathbb{N}) = \{x = \{x_n\} | x_k \in \mathbb{C}, \sum |x_k|^2 < \infty\}$ . The space of square summable sequences of complex numbers. Clearly,  $l^2(\mathbb{N})$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Define an inner product by  $(x, y) = \sum x_k \bar{y}_k$ . Therefore,  $(l^2(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_{l^2})$  is Banach, hence complete, hence Hilbert.

**Example 4.8.2.** An important non-example:  $R([a, b]) = \{\text{the space of complex valued Riemann-integrable functions on } [a, b]\}$ . Clearly,  $R([a, b])$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  (under pointwise operation). Define an inner product by  $(f, g)_{L^2} = \int_a^b f(x) \bar{g}(x) dx$ , with the corresponding  $L^2$  norm.  $\|f\|_{L^2} = (\int_a^b |f(x)|^2 dx)^{1/2}$ .

**Remark 4.8.3.** Notice that  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$  is not non-degenerate on  $R([a, b])$ . Nevertheless, it is easy to remedy this by passing from  $R([a, b])$  to space  $\tilde{R}([a, b])$  :Riemann integrable functions which are equal everywhere on  $[a, b]$  except on a set of measure zero.

**Proposition 4.8.4.**  $(R([a, b]), (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2})$  is not complete.

**Remark 4.8.5.** This is one reason for replacing the Riemann integral with a better integral tool, the Lebesgue integral.